Original Article
Relationship between MLH-1, MSH-2, PMS-2, MSH-6 expression and clinicopathological features in colorectal cancer
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Abstract: Colorectal cancers are the third most common in both sexes and they are the second most common cause of cancer-related death. 12-15% of colorectal cancers develop through microsatellite instability (the hereditary mutation in at least one of DNA mismatch repair genes) pathway and they are 2-5% hereditary. In this study, we investigated the correlation between the clinicopathological features themselves and also the correlation between them and the immunohistochemical MLH-1, MSH-2, PMS-2, MSH-6 expressions in a total of 186 resection materials with colorectal adenocarcinoma between 2008 and 2012. All the cases were retrospectively evaluated in terms of age, sex, localization, size, accompanying polyp, multiple tumor, arising from polyp, differentiation, mucinous differentiation, pathological tumor stage, lymphovascular and perineural invasion, lymphocyte amount in the tumor microenvironment, surgical border and lymph node metastasis. We prepared multiple tissue blocks which had 4-millimeter tumor. Immunohistochemically, MLH-1, MSH-2, PMS-2, MSH-6 primary antibodies were studied. Statistically, “Kruskal-Wallis” ve “Pearson’s chi-squared” tests were used. We found a positive correlation between loss of MLH-1 and PMS-2 expressions and the right-colon location, poor and mucinous differentiation and dense lymphocytic infiltration. In addition, loss of MSH-2 and MSH-6 expressions was correlated with the right-colon location, poor and mucinous differentiation. We found a meaningful relationship between immunohistochemical markers and clinicopathological features usually observed in tumors with microsatellite instability. This finding may arouse suspicion for MSI. However, the findings in our study must be supported with studies conducted in large series including molecular methods.
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Introduction

Of all the cancers in the world, colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common cancer [1]. While the mean age is 62, the risk group range is 60-79 [2]. The incidence under 50 is below 20% unless there are predisposing factors [3]. About 75% of the CRCs occur sporadically and they are inherited in 5% [4]. As known, malignancies occur due to the accumulation of mutations in genes that directly control cell growth and death. CRCs are thought to develop from two pathways which are defined as chromosomal instability (CIN) and microsatellite instability (MSI) [5]. Although most of the CRCs which develop from CIN are sporadic, Familial Adenomatous Polyposis (FAP) and MUTYH-associated polyposis (MAP) developing from this pathway are familial and each of them constitutes 1% of CRCs [6]. The existence of noteworthy features in clinical presentation makes it easy to scan and detect these syndroms.

MSI is characterized by small deletions or insertions within a number of repeated nucleotide units in DNA due to defects in DNA mismatch repair process [7, 8]. Although MSI pathway is detected in 12-15% of CRCs, 2-5% of them is hereditary [3]. The hereditary mutation of one of the five DNA mismatch repair genes (MSH-2, MSH-6, MLH-1, PMS-1 ve PMS-2) results in Lynch syndrome/Hereditary Nonpolyposis Colon Cancer (HNPPC). Tumors that arise via the MSI show certain clinicopathological fea-
turers including proximal colon location, mucinous histology, and infiltration by lymphocytes [5].

Detecting tumors of hereditary and/or tumors with MSI is important because of differences in therapy and in order to follow the other family members before they contract cancer. The genetic analysis is the gold standard for the diagnosis of hereditary tumors. However, the genetic analysis is difficult and expensive so it cannot be used for screening of all colorectal tumors [9-11]. Thus, the clinicopathological and immunohistochemical features that will be used in the selection of the patients to be referred to genetic analysis must be clarified.

In this study, we investigated the correlation between the clinicopathological features themselves (age, sex, localization, size of tumor, accompanying polyp, multiple tumor, arising in polyp, differentiation, mucinous component, pathologic stage of tumor, lymphovascular and perineural invasion, lymphocyte density in tumor microenvironment, surgical margin and lymph node metastasis) and also the correlation between them and the immunohistochemical MLH-1, MSH-2, PMS-2, MSH-6 expressions in a total of 186 resection materials with colorectal adenocarcinoma between 2008 and 2012.

Materials and methods

A total of 186 patients who underwent resection for colorectal adenocarcinoma in our institution from 2008 to 2012 were included in this study. The clinical features of the cases and the macroscopic features of the tumors were obtained from the hospital archive system.

Hematoxylin-Eosin-stained sections of the 186 patients were reevaluated by at least two pathologists in terms of differentiation, mucinous component, arising in polyp, pathologic stage of tumor, lymphovascular and perineural invasion, lymphocyte density in tumor microenvironment, surgical margin and lymph node metastasis.

The localization was classified as cecum, ascending colon, transverse colon, descending colon, sigmoid colon, rectosigmoid junction and rectum; the growth pattern as ulcerovegetative, polypoid and ulcerous. For the histological differentiation degree and pathological tumor stage, 2010 World Health Organization Classification of Tumours of the Digestive System was used [12]. The lymphocyte density in tumor microenvironment was divided into three categories as: 1; stromal lymphocytic infiltration, 2; stromal lymphocyte infiltration and glandular infiltration, 3; Crohn-like lymphocytic infiltration regardless of the lymphocytic infiltration in the tumor. The presence of macroscopic and/or microscopic tumor at the surgical margin was considered as surgical margin positivity. The other parameters were classified as present or absent.

Immunohistochemistry

One of the blocks that had gone through the routine process and reflected the tumor features best was selected. After the tumor area adjacent to normal mucosa and/or lymphocytic infiltration was marked, 4 mm paraffinized tissue was removed and the multiple tissue blocks were prepared (Figure 1). 4-micron-thick sections were obtained for IHC investigation. Immunohistochemically, MLH-1 (CLONE: ES05, Novocastra, 1/100 dilution, catalog number: MLH1-L-CE-S), MSH-2 (Clone 25D12, 1/100 dilution, catalog number: MSH2-CE-S), PMS-2 (Clone M0R4G, 1/100 dilution, catalog number: PMS2-L-CE-S) ve MSH-6 (Clone 25D12, 1/100 dilution, catalog number: MSH6-L-CE-S) primary antibodies were used. The positive control was nuclear staining in normal mucosa and/or lymphocytic infiltration.

At least 5 high power fields were evaluated for each tumor and the staining rate of the tumor cells was calculated. A mean percentage of stained tumor cells was determined and graded into three categories which is as follows: negative, < 1%; 1st group, 1-50%; 2nd group, 51-100% positive cells.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS software version 19.0. “Kruskal-Wallis Test” and “Pearson Ki-Kare” were used. A P value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant in all statistical analyses.

Results

There were 124 men (66.7%) and 62 women (33.3%). The mean age was 66.69 ± 11.90 years (range, 30-92 years). The numbers of...
cases according to clinicopathological parameters are shown in Table 1.

**Correlation between clinicopathological features**

Statistically, right colon location was correlated with mucinous adenocarcinoma \( (P = 0.018) \) and presence of mucinous component \( (P = 0.001) \). The ulcerous tumors were smaller \( (P = 0.017) \) and their pathological tumor stage was higher \( (P < 0.0001) \). In the patients with ulcerous tumors, more lymph nodes were dissected \( (P = 0.012) \). In the tumors arising in polyp, size was bigger and the pathological tumor stage was lower \( (P = 0.008) \), and multiple tumors were more common than in the tumors not arising in polyp \( (P < 0.0001) \). Multiple tumors were together with polyps more frequently \( (P = 0.001) \) and their pathological lymph node stage was more advanced \( (P = 0.024) \). A statistically meaningful correlation was found between lymphocytic infiltration and accompanying polyp \( (P = 0.042) \).

In the poorly differentiated adenocarcinomas, mucinous component \( (P < 0.0001) \), lymphovascular \( (P = 0.001) \) and perineural invasion \( (P = 0.003) \) were more common. In addition, as the differentiation deteriorated, pathological tumor stage and lymph node metastasis increased \( (P< 0.001 \text{ and } p = 0.019; \text{ respectively}). Less lymphovascular invasion \( (P = 0.012) \) and lymph node metastasis \( (P = 0.002) \) were detected in the tumors containing mucinous differentiation. Tumors with lymphovascular invasion had more advanced pathological tumor stage \( (P = 0.001) \) and more lymph node metastasis \( (P < 0.0001) \). Perineural invasion was not detected in any of the pT1 and pT2 tumors. Statistically, perineural invasion correlated with surgical margin positivity \( (P = 0.021) \), lymph node metastasis \( (P < 0.0001) \), and pathological lymph node stage \( (P < 0.0001) \). As the degree of lymphocytic infiltration increased, the number of dissected lymph node increased, too \( (P = 0.030) \). Also, there was a statistically meaningful correlation between surgical margin positivity and regional lymph node metastasis \( (P = 0.003) \).
perineural invasion (P = 0.021) and pathological tumor stage (P = 0.016). In our study, there was a statistically meaningful relationship between the number of dissected lymph nodes and lymph node metastasis (P = 0.049).

**Correlation between immunohistochemical and clinicopathological features**

For all four immunohistochemical markers (MLH-1, MSH2, PMS-2, MSH-6), loss of expression was correlated with poorly differentiated and mucinous adenocarcinoma histology (P < 0.0001, P = 0.015, P < 0.0001, P < 0.0001 respectively). Also, there was a correlation between localization to cecum and ascending colon and loss of PMS-2 and MSH-6 expression (P < 0.0001, P = 0.007 respectively). In the tumors with mucinous component, loss of MLH-1 was observed more (P = 0.003). Additionally, in the cases which exhibited loss of MLH-1 and PMS-2 expression, mucinous component (P = 0.003 and P < 0.0001), lymphovas-
Table 2. The number of the cases according to staining of the immunohistochemical markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&lt; 1%</th>
<th>1-50%</th>
<th>51-100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLH-1</td>
<td>16 (8.6%)</td>
<td>52 (28.0%)</td>
<td>118 (63.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH-2</td>
<td>3 (1.6%)</td>
<td>86 (46.2%)</td>
<td>97 (52.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS-2</td>
<td>18 (9.7%)</td>
<td>26 (14.0%)</td>
<td>142 (76.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH-6</td>
<td>7 (3.8%)</td>
<td>68 (36.6%)</td>
<td>111 (59.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The number of the cases according to staining of the immunohistochemical markers.

Table 2. The number of the cases according to staining of the immunohistochemical markers is shown in Table 2. Immunohistochemically, in 2 cases, all four immunohistochemical markers were found negative. In 12 cases, staining was not observed with MLH-1 and PMS-2 while it was observed with the other markers. In 1 case, staining was not observed with MSH-2 and MSH-6 but it was observed with the other markers. PMS-2 and MSH-6 negativity was detected in 1 case. MLH-1 negativity alone was seen in 2 cases while PMS-2 negativity alone in 3 cases, just like MSH-6 negativity. The immunohistochemical negativity for each one of four markers is shown in Figure 1 and the distribution of the number of the cases with negative immunohistochemical staining in Figure 2.

Discussion

Colorectal cancer has the third highest cancer incidence in both sexes [1]. The mean age of incidence is 62, and it is rare under 40 unless there are predisposing factors [2]. The average age in our study group complied with the literature and only 4.8% of the patients were under 40. It is reported that there is no noticeable sex dominance for CRCs; however, in the studies with a limited number of cases, the dominance can be tilted towards one sex as in our study [12, 13]. It is reported that CRCs are often localized in the rectosigmoid region but with age they move towards proximal colon and 2/3 of the tumors observed in Lynch Syndrome are localized to proximal colon [2, 12, 14, 15]. In most of the cases in our study, the tumor was localized to sigmoid colon and rectum. Although there was no statistical meaning, the rate of tumor localized to the right colon between the ages of 51 and 60 was slightly higher than in the other age groups. The reason why there is no statistical meaning may be that we have fewer patients under 40. The right colon tumors are often expected to be in an exophytic growth pattern, bigger and in more advanced stages while the left colon tumors are smaller and ulcerated [5-16]. In our study, in accordance with the literature, polypoid and ulcerovegetative tumors with exophytic growth pattern were mostly localized to right colon and bigger. Incidence of multiple CRC is 2.3-12.4% in the general population but that ratio is reported to be as high as 10-20% in the patients with FAP, Lynch Syndrome and ulcerative colitis [17]. As in our study, literature contains few studies reporting that the rate of lymph node metastasis is higher in multiple tumors [18]. In a study
of 42 patients with multiple tumors, conducted by Oya et al, it is claimed that the existence of multiple tumor focus can have a synergistic effect for distant metastases [17]. In our study, a correlation was found between multiple tumor focus and lymph node metastasis and this suggests that there could be a similar effect for lymph node metastases, a finding of locally advanced stage. In the cases with multiple tumor, presence of accompanying polyp and arising in polyp were found to be more common than in the cases with single tumor in our study. This result suggested that more synchronous tumors could occur in polyposis even if the number of polypos was low. Nevertheless, our finding must be supported with studies containing a large patient group and long term follow-up. There are studies which claim that histological grade alone is important as a prognostic factor independent of stage, and more perineural invasion, peritumoral lymphovascular invasion, lymph node metastasis and advanced tumor invasion are observed in higher grade tumors [2, 6, 7]. In our study, as in the literature, a positive correlation was detected between high grade tumors, lymphovascular and perineural invasion, lymph node metastasis and the depth of tumor invasion.

Mucinous adenocarcinomas are associated with young age, dominance of female sex, right colon localization, advanced pathological tumor stage, lymph node metastasis, poor differentiation and advanced clinical tumor stage [18-20]. When the mucinous adenocarcinomas and the tumors with mucinous differentiation in our study were evaluated together, most of them were found to be localized to the right colon and poorly differentiated tumors and they had lymph node metastasis in accordance with the literature. A relationship between morphological and macroscopic features mostly associated with MSI was also observed in our study. This finding suggested that our patients could have MSI although it was not confirmed with molecular methods. As known, as the depth of tumor invasion increases, the risk of lymphovascular invasion and lymph node metastasis increases, too [21, 22]. Besides, the lymphovascular tumor emboli outside the muscularis propria is reported to be a very strong prognostic factor [23]. In our study, in accordance with the literature, lymphovascular invasion was not seen in pT1 and pT2 tumors; all the patients with lymphovascular invasion had pT3 and pT4 tumors and as the depth of invasion increased, the incidence of lymphovascular invasion increased, too. Moreover, most of the patients with lymphovascular invasion had lymph node metastasis. Considering these findings, we think that if the pathological stage of the tumor is low in patients with peritumoral lymphovascular invasion, the depth of the tumor invasion must be examined with more sections. In the tumors with peritumoral lymphovascular invasion, even if the number of dissected lymph nodes is enough, if there is no lymph node metastasis, we concluded that recurrent dissections of the fat tissue are important in order to find the metastatic lymph nodes.

In 15-17% of the cases with colorectal carcinoma, microsatellite instability pathway is responsible for the pathogenesis [24]. In the autosomal dominant Lynch Syndrome arising in microsatellite instability pathway, the risk of developing metachronous and synchronous tumors at an early age increases [6]. The defini-
tive diagnosis of Lynch Syndrome can be made through genetic analyses and PCR-based MSI is recommended as the gold standard in choosing the patients to be referred for genetic analysis [25-27]. As for the CRCs which have microsatellite instability but are not familial, there are authors who suggest that screenings must be done because revealing the loss in proteins can be useful in determining the prognosis and response to treatment [25-28]. It is important to recognize the tumors with MSI in order to detect Lynch Syndrome and follow the other family members before cancer arises and to choose the treatment for patients. However, PCR-based MSI is costly and technically tricky so, for screening purposes, immunohistochemical examinations which may be used instead of PCR-based MSI have emerged [29, 30]. Under in vivo conditions, MMR proteins can be heterodimerized so protein loss can be isolated and it can be together with another MMR protein. Due to this situation posing difficulty in immunohistochemical evaluation, there are different studies about which protein the antibodies to be used in the screening must be for [30, 31]. In the immunohistochemical method, generally recommended basic monoclonal antibodies developed against DNA repair gene proteins are MLH-1, MSH-2, MSH-6 and PMS-2 [32, 33]. Immunohistochemically, if antibody negativity is considered as an indication of protein loss and if there are clinical and histopathological features expected to accompany, it is recommended that patients be referred to molecular examinations [14, 32].

Among the main clinical and histopathological features expected to accompany carcinomas with MSI are dominance of female sex, localization to right colon, tendency for multiple tumor, intense lymphocytic infiltration, mucinous or poorly differentiated carcinoma morphology [5, 12, 14, 25, 34]. Chapusot et al claimed that about one-third of tumors with MMR expression loss are localized to right colon and when localization to right colon is evaluated together with poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma morphology, it has a high predictive value for MMR loss [35]. In our study, in accordance with the literature, the cases who exhibited negativity with at least one of the immunohistochemical markers had right colon localization and poorly differentiated carcinoma morphology. A study conducted by Greenson et al suggests that not only mucinous adenocarcinomas but also the tumors with less than 50% mucinous component are associated with MSI [36]. In our study, in accordance with the literature, there was a meaningful correlation between the cases who exhibited negativity with at least one of the immunohistochemical markers and mucinous adenocarcinoma and/or mucinous component.

In most studies on colorectal carcinomas, lymphocytes infiltrating the tumor and the presence of Crohn’s-like response were found to be associated with MSI [35, 37, 38]. In our study, in the tumors having intense lymphocytic infiltration, a meaningfully high negativity of immunohistochemical marker was observed, which is a finding in accordance with the literature. Clinically, CRCs having MSI are reported to be less likely to metastasize to local lymph node although they exhibit more advanced depth of tumor invasion [39]. In a study conducted by Raut et al, it is claimed that lymph node metastasis is less frequent in the cases with MMR loss [40]. However, what is striking in their study is that pN1c cases are regarded as non-metastatic. In our study, none of the immunohistochemical markers applied had a statistical relationship with the depth of tumor invasion or lymph node metastasis. In our study, however, pT1c cases were regarded to be metastatic according to the current WHO classification. Also, considering that sporadic MSI-H tumors have a more heterogeneous structure, it can be seen that the area studied does not represent the whole of the heterogeneous tumor in our study, where multiple tissue block was applied. We think that the connection between MSI and lymph node metastasis can be clarified better with studies having larger series and conforming to current classification. Due to the drawbacks of using PCR-based MSI test in screening familial cancer syndromes, immunohistochemical methods are gaining more popularity at the first step of the investigation. PMS2 and MSH6 followed MSH2 and MLH1, which were developed first [30, 31]. Because MMR proteins can be in vivo heterodimerized, it is thought that these four antibodies must be used together to increase the specificity and sensitivity [29, 31, 41]. In our study, focal staining was observed in part of the cases. When tumor heterogeneity and focal stainings are evaluated together, the immunohistochemical markers should, if possible, be used on the sur-
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