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Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 expression in breast cancer: correlation with clinical pathological features
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Abstract: The overexpressed HER2 (human epidermal growth factor receptor 2) is a valuable therapeutic target. Precise assessment of HER2 status is thus crucial in the treatment of breast cancer. In this study, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded samples of tumors from 304 breast cancer patients who underwent curative surgery procedures between 2011 and 2014 were tested by immunohistochemistry (IHC) as a primary estimate of HER2 status, followed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). Concordance rate between IHC and FISH was evaluated. The Χ² test was used to evaluate the correlation between HER2 gene amplification status and different clinical pathological features including: (estrogen receptor) ER and (progesterone receptor) PR expression, age, menopausal status and tumor size. The results show that 84.8% of IHC score 3+ cases and 6.2% of IHC score 0/1+ cases were amplified by FISH. After exclusion of group IHC 2+, the concordance rate between FISH and IHC was 87.4%. There was a significant inverse association between expression of hormone receptors (ER and PR) and HER2 amplification (P < 0.001) among the patients studied. However, no relationship was observed between HER2 amplification and age, menopausal status and tumor size (P > 0.05). The data demonstrate a relatively high level of concordance rate for HER2 testing between FISH and IHC, and HER2 overexpression was associated with the levels of ER and PR.
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Introduction

The human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) gene is a proto-oncogene located on chromosome 17q12. The HER2 gene is amplified and overexpressed in 20-30% of invasive breast cancers, as well as in fractions of gastric cancer, ovarian cancer and others [1-3]. HER2 overexpression is associated with cell proliferation, apoptosis, adhesion, angiogenesis and aggressiveness of various tumors. The overexpression of HER2 has been recognized as a significant marker for aggressiveness of disease status. Furthermore, HER2 is considered to be a molecular target for specific therapies. For instance, breast cancer patients with positivity to HER2 can benefit from trastuzumab (Herceptin; Genentech, South San Francisco, CA), a humanized monoclonal antibody that targets HER2, and has been shown to improve progression-free survival and overall survival in the metastatic setting [4]. Lapatinib, a small molecule inhibitor of the tyrosine kinase activity of HER2, was also approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer and is associated with improved survival outcome in patients [5, 6].

The 2013 ASCO/CAP (American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists) guidelines update recognized that it is crucial to standardize detecting techniques to precisely assess HER2 status, in order to ensure that the patient receives the most appropriate treatment [7]. Currently, several new technologies
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Table 1. Patient Demographics and Baseline Clinical Pathologic Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Characteristic</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>HER2 Positive</th>
<th>HER2 Negative</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ER status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER positive</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>57 (30.2%)</td>
<td>132 (69.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER negative</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>78 (67.8%)</td>
<td>37 (32.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR positive</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>46 (29.9%)</td>
<td>108 (70.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR negative</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>89 (59.3%)</td>
<td>61 (40.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 40</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>35 (47.9%)</td>
<td>38 (52.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-59</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>85 (45.7%)</td>
<td>101 (54.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 60</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15 (33.3%)</td>
<td>30 (66.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menopausal status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premenopausal</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>87 (46.5%)</td>
<td>100 (53.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>48 (41.0%)</td>
<td>69 (59.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size, cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 1.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7 (31.8%)</td>
<td>15 (68.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1.0-4.0</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>108 (44.1%)</td>
<td>137 (55.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 4.0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>20 (54.1%)</td>
<td>17 (45.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HER2: human epidermal growth factor receptor; ER: estrogen receptor; PR: progesterone receptor.

which are available for HER2 testing: microarray mRNA readouts (by TargetPrint) [8], qPCR (quantitative real-time PCR) [9] and digital PCR [10] are used to evaluate HER2 gene amplification. Despite the ability of these technologies to show an excellent concordance with FISH and have the potential to accurately assess HER2 amplification status, the Update Committee of ASCO/CAP believe that there is insufficient evidence to support use of mRNA or DNA microarray assays to determine HER2 status, and FISH and IHC are still the most frequently used methods to assess the HER2 status in breast cancer. The advantages of IHC testing are that it is relatively inexpensive, widely available and simple to implement. However, the ability to accurately estimate HER2 protein expression status by IHC can be problematic [11]. FISH is more reproducible than IHC, and FISH is more accurate for HER2 testing and more strongly correlated with responsiveness to HER2-targeted therapy [12]. In this study, we use IHC assays for preliminary assessment of HER2 status followed by reflex testing by FISH.

The objective of this study was to estimate the concordance between HER2 protein expression status when performed by IHC and HER2 gene amplification when performed by FISH in archived breast cancer tissue specimens and determine any relationships that these techniques have with clinical pathological characteristics in the patients.

Materials and methods

Patients and samples

Paraffin-embedded blocks samples of tumors were retrieved from 304 patients who had breast carcinoma that was surgically resected between 2011 and 2014 at the Affiliated Tumor Hospital of Guangxi Medical University. Tumor cells in situ components were assessed on Hematein Eosin (HE) stained sections. IHC slides for ER and PR from all specimens were reviewed by light microscopy. The characteristics of tumor samples were also evaluated. Tumor size and pathologic diagnosis were extracted from pathology reports. All the patients were women, with ages ranging from 25 to 74 years. The median age was 48.1 years. Clinical pathological characteristics of the tumor samples included in this study are shown in Table 1. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Tumor Hospital, Guangxi Medical University.

HER2 immunohistochemistry

Surgical tissues were fixed in 10% formalin, dehydrated, cleared and embedded in paraffin. 4 µm thick sections were cut using a rotary microtome (Thermo HM315) and transferred to poly-L-lysine coated slides. IHC for Her2 protein was performed on tissue sections in accordance with published and validated protocols [13]. Tissue samples were deparaffinized, rehydrated and subjected to antigen retrieval with a buffer solution using a steamer. Tissue samples were then incubated with HER2 antibody (Dako, Denmark) for 30 min. Following the application of anti-mouse poly-HP probe secondary antibody, the sections were incubated in a solution including 3% hydrogen peroxide and diaminobenzidine. Finally, the tissue sections were placed under a cover slip and viewed using light microscopy (Olympus BX43). According to the ASCO/CAP guideline recommendations [14], HER2 protein expression was scored as 0 or 1+ (no staining or weak, incomplete membrane
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Table 2. Immunohistochemistry and FISH Concordance expressed as percentages of total specimens evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FISH</th>
<th>IHC 0</th>
<th>IHC 1+</th>
<th>IHC 2+</th>
<th>IHC 3+</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (9.1%)</td>
<td>66 (34.2%)</td>
<td>67 (84.8%)</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>10 (100%)</td>
<td>20 (90.9%)</td>
<td>127 (65.8%)</td>
<td>12 (15.2%)</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

staining in any proportion of tumor cells), 2+ (complete membrane staining that is either not uniform or weak in intensity but with obvious circumferential distribution in at least 10% of cells or intense, complete membrane staining of ≤ 30% of invasive tumor cells), or 3+ (uniform intense membrane staining of > 30% of invasive tumor cells).

HER2 FISH

FISH was performed with the Path Vysion HER2 DNA Probe Kit (Abbott Laboratories Ltd., Hong Kong) according to the instructions of manufacturer. This kit contains two fluorescently labeled DNA probes, HER2 spectrum orange and CEP17 (chromosome 17 enumeration control probes direct-labeled with spectrum green), allowing simultaneous detection of the copy numbers of both HER2 and CEP17. The signal analysis was carried out using an Olympus BX51 fluorescent microscope system. At least 30 tumor cell nuclei in at least three different areas of invasive carcinoma were counted for the numbers of HER2 and CEP17 signals. The HER2/CEP17 ratios were consistently interpreted according to the ASCO/CAP recommendations. HER2 gene expression was classified as positive (HER2/CEP17 ratio > 2.2 or HER2 gene copy > 6.0), negative (HER2/CEP17 ratio < 1.8 or HER2 gene copy < 4.0), or equivocal (HER2/CEP17 ratio 1.8-2.2 or HER2 gene copy 4.0-6.0). If the HER2/CEP17 ratio was classified as equivocal, another 30 tumor cells were counted and the final HER2/CEP17 ratio was calculated from the 60 cells.

In all cases, IHC was performed as a primary test, followed by FISH. FISH assays were performed on all 3+ and 2+ cases, and on a small number of the 0/1+ cases. When there was a discrepancy between IHC and FISH, the result of FISH was considered as definitive.

Statistical analysis

The X^2 test was used to evaluate the correlation among clinical pathological features and HER2 gene amplification status as determined by FISH. All P values were derived from the two-sided Fisher’s exact test and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical calculations were conducted using SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Correlation of HER2 with clinic pathological characteristics

Among the 304 evaluable patients, 189 cases of them were ER positive by IHC. Data in Table 1 show that ER status has a significant negative correlation with HER2 positive rates (P < 0.001), and that the higher the expression level of ER, the lower the HER2 positive rates. Similarly, there is a negative correlation between PR status and HER2 positive rates (P < 0.001). HER2 positive rates tended to decrease with increasing age of the patients. The HER2 expression levels of premenopausal patients were higher than those of postmenopausal patients, but the results were not statistically significant (P > 0.05). Furthermore, no significant relationship was found between HER2 positivity and tumor size (P > 0.05).

HER2 gene amplification and protein expression

The concordance between HER2 FISH and IHC results are shown in Table 2. HER2 gene amplification was detected in 304 tumor samples with HER2 to CEP17 ratios from 2.34 to 12.18. Almost half of the FISH positive samples (67 of 135, 49.6%) were IHC 3+, with an 84.8% concordance between FISH and IHC 3+, and 34.2% of IHC 2+ were positive for HER2 amplification by FISH. None of the IHC 0 cases proved FISH positive above an HER2/CEP17 ratio of 2.2, and only 2 tumors in the IHC 1+ tumors (2 of 22, 9.1%) were demonstrated HER2 expression positive, with ratios of 5.078 and 4.7, respectively. The rate of FISH-negativity within each group varied from 15.2% to 100%. There was a high level of consistency between IHC 0 and FISH; 100% of specimens were negative for HER2 amplification. In short, 2 cases (IHC 1+) were amplified and 12 cases (IHC 3+) were non-amplified in a total of 111 tumors (after exclusion of IHC 2+), resulting in a concordance rate of 87.4% between IHC and FISH. The IHC
and FISH results are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.

The distribution of FISH HER2/CEP17 ratios compared with IHC results is shown in Figure 3. The HER2/CEP17 ratio was from 0.73 to 12.18 in 304 tumor samples, and the maximum and minimum values of FISH ratio are all in the IHC 2+ group.

Discussion

Since the FDA approval of the use of Herceptin for the treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer, HER2-testing has become routine in processing breast cancer specimens [14]. Furthermore, the ASCO/CAP Committee recommends that all patients with invasive breast cancer should be tested for either HER2...
HER2 expression in breast cancer

Figure 3. The distribution of FISH HER2/CEP17 ratios compared with results of IHC staining (IHC 0, IHC 1+, IHC 2+ and IHC 3+).

protein expression (IHC assay) or HER2 gene expression (ISH assay) using a validated HER2 test [7]. Although IHC and FISH are the most frequently used methods for HER2-testing in breast cancer [11], there are always discrepancies. According to the ASCO/CAP guidelines approximately 20% of the HER2 assays (particularly those performed using IHC and FISH techniques) may be inaccurate. Correlation studies involving 2279 tumor samples with breast cancer showed a concordance of HER2 status between dual-color FISH and IHC was 87% [15]. The disparities in FISH and IHC assay results have since been confirmed by some other studies [12, 16]. The relationship between HER2 protein expression and gene amplification in gastric cancer samples is also controversial [17]. In general, excellent concordance was shown in the IHC 0, IHC 1+ and IHC 3+ groups, while the most discrepancy was in the IHC 2+ group. The causes of the incongruence are likely to be multifactorial, such as issues with specimen fixation, different sensitivity and specificity of the antibodies used, and observer bias due to interpretation by different pathologists. The guidelines established by ASCO/CAP were very conducive to standardization of the testing algorithms used and introduced a revised scoring system aimed at improving the concordance between IHC and ISH (in situ hybridization) results. This led to an improvement in its accuracy and utility to act as a predictive marker in breast cancer [7].

In the present study, the HER2 protein overexpression (group IHC 3+) without gene amplification was found in 15.2% of breast cancers, while gene amplification without HER2 protein overexpression (groups IHC 0 and IHC 1+) was detected in 6.2% of cases. After exclusion of group IHC 2+, the concordance rate between FISH and IHC was 87.4%. Similar results were seen in prior studies where the concordance rates (excluding 2+ samples) were closer to 80-90% [18, 19]. However, the IHC-FISH concordance rates are expected to be 95% [14]. We should take steps to ensure the highest quality of testing. For instance, a high level of training, experience and attention to detail is required for interpretation of the assays for both FISH and IHC. Previous studies have indicated that improvement in concordance rate was ascribed to standardization of technical issues (such as pre-analytical processing and specimen fixation), scoring and interpretation [16], and it is recommended that concordance testing should be annually confirmed [14]. The HER2 testing is performed according to standardized analytically validated protocols [7].

The significant aim for the detection of HER2 overexpression or amplification is to predict the trastuzumab response. The specific mechanism through which trastuzumab exerts its effects in vivo remains to be elucidated, but is likely to include antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity, inhibition of the PI3K-AKT pathway, inhibition of cell cycle progression, attenuation of cell signaling, inhibition of HER2 shedding and antiangiogenic effects [20, 21]. During the clinical trials with trastuzumab, it was observed that the maximal response rates were approximately 35% with response rates varying from 12-68% [20, 21]. The previous study showed that the response rate in tumor samples with 3+ staining by IHC was 35%, with no response in the IHC 2+ group. The response rates in patients with FISH-positive tumors were 34% compared with 7% in those with FISH-negative tumors [22]. Researchers have compared the clinical outcomes of IHC and FISH assays for prediction of response to trastuzumab therapy. The results indicate that compared with IHC, FISH is the preferred method to select patients for trastuzumab therapy [23]. Other researchers have also suggested the use of FISH as a superior method [21]. The HER2-positive breast cancers with FISH ratios between 2.0 and 4.0 have similar responses to trastuzumab as patients whose tumors with
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FISH ratios of 4.0 to 6.0 and 6.0 to 8.0, which is mentioned in a previous study [12]. ASCO/CAP recommends the patients with HER2 protein expression IHC 3+ or FISH-positive for HER2 gene amplification was eligible for treatment in the adjuvant trastuzumab trials. The patients with IHC 0 or 1+ or FISH-negative were excluded from therapy with trastuzumab and retesting was performed for specimens that tested IHC 2+ [14].

In our study, there was a significant inverse association between expression of hormone receptors (ER and PR) and HER2 amplification (P < 0.001). However, no relationship was observed between HER2 amplification and age, menopausal status and tumor size (P > 0.05). Estrogen is a crucial mitogen exerting its activity by binding to the corresponding receptors and these have been shown to be present in 50-80% of breast carcinoma. The progesterone receptor is functionally similar to the estrogen receptor and is as valuable in predicting the behavior of invasive breast cancer [24]. A previous study examined 3655 specimens of invasive breast carcinomas and showed that expression of ER and PR were significantly reduced in HER2 positive specimens compared with HER2 negative specimens [25]. Similar results were found in other studies where the amplification of HER2 is correlated with a reduction in the positivity of ER and PR [24, 26, 27]. Furthermore, the negative correlation between hormone receptor and HER2 was shown to be related to the fact that estrogens suppress HER2 through the ER [28]. Correlation of HER2 over-expression and tumor size was also studied by Shafaq Mujtaba et al. [26], who showed that HER2 over-expression increased with increasing tumor size, a result which is reflected in our study. However, other researchers did not show any association of HER2 with tumor size or age [27]. A correlation between HER2 and hormone receptors expression would be expected with age and menopausal status since circulating estrogens are known to vary before and after the menopause [28]. However, the results presented here are in agreement with a prior study which showed no significant association of age and HER2 status [29].

In summary, the data generated in this study demonstrate a relatively high rate of concordance for HER2 testing between FISH and IHC, and there was a significant inverse association between expression of hormone receptors (ER and PR) and HER2 amplification. This study therefore emphasizes the importance of precisely assessing the HER2 status in breast cancer patients prior to selection of patients for trastuzumab therapy. Improvement in the accuracy of HER2 testing can only occur when standardized analytically validated protocols are used. The results presented here suggest that further studies should be performed in a trastuzumab-treated population.
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