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Overexpression of long non-coding RNA HOTAIR enhances breast cancer radioresistance via RhoC-Akt pathway by targeting HOXD10
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Abstract: Background: Radioresistance remains a therapeutic challenge in progress of breast cancer radiotherapy-the main regimen for inoperable and locally advanced breast cancer. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR (HOX transcript antisense RNA) recently emerged as a carcinogenic promoter and therapeutic targets by inhibiting HOTAIR expression in various cancers including breast cancer. This study is focused to investigate the radioresistance effects of long non-coding RNA HOTAIR on breast cancer and explore the possible underlying mechanisms. Methods: plasmid pLVX-CMV-PGK-puro was transfected into cell line SKBR3 using liposome, thus overexpressing HOTAIR gene in the cells. Furthermore, radionsensitivity of each cell lines were assessed following overexpressing HOTAIR gene by cell proliferation/viability tests by means of CCK8, transwell, flow cytometry and colony formation assay in vitro, and tumor formation assay in a nude mouse model treated with a single fraction of 8 Gy irradiation in vivo. Following, HOXD10, p-AKT and p-BAD of the radiated nude mouse were detected by Western blotting. Results: In vivo, mice bearing null vector SKBR3 (Vecotr-SKBR3) tumor and stable over-expressing HOTAIR-transfected SKBR3 (HOTAIR-SKBR3) tumor indicated distinct difference in tumor volume and doubling time in radiation-treated groups (P<0.05). Furthermore, the overexpressed HOTAIR inhibited response of SKBR3 xenografts to irradiation with enhancement factor of 0.31 calculated by dividing the normalized tumor growth delay. In vitro, HOTAIR also efficiently enhanced the radioresistance of breast cancer cells, thus increasing cell proliferation via protein HOXD10 and PI3K/Akt pathway. Conclusions: These findings demonstrated that HOTAIR might serve as a valid therapeutic target for the reversal of resistance to radiotherapy in breast cancer.
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Introduction

Crucial advances in researching the pathological characterization molecular mechanisms of breast cancer’s occurrence and development have allowed breast cancer having more favorable diagnostic and therapeutic prospect, however, over 20% in morbidity and 14% in mortality of breast cancer patients still increasing since 2008 [1]. So far, adjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy followed by surgery still remains the top option to prevent and control local recurrence of breast cancer. Promoting the efficacy of recurrence-targeted therapy and improving the overall prognosis in breast cancer patients have evoked oncologists to reveal further the molecular mechanisms underlying the modulation of local recurrence, although largely unclear at present.

Accumulating evidences showed the potential role of long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) as biomarkers and therapeutic targets in solid tumors. The overexpression of homobox (HOX) transcript antisense RNA (HOTAIR), a novel IncRNAs, in breast adenocarcinoma cells promotes cell proliferation, migration and invasion, with apoptosis significantly inhibited in vitro [2]. Also, two types of ncRNAs, HOTAIR and miRNA-10b (miR-10b), can reportedly suppress the translation of the HOXD10 gene which is a mRNA encoding a transcriptional repressor that
Overexpression of HOTAIR enhances breast cancer

downregulates the expression of cell migration/invasion-associated genes [3], and accelerate cell proliferation via RhoC-Akt pathway by targeting HOXD10 [4].

However, there is no literature available regarding the influence of IncRNA HOTAIR expression and its potential regulatory mechanisms in progress of human breast cancer radiotherapy. Herein, here we focused on the impact of the upregulation of HOTAIR on the radiotherapy sensitivity as well as the underlying mechanisms in human breast cancer cells, and attempt to verify whether HOTAIR can serve as a predictor of radiotherapy resistance in patients with breast cancer.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

Human breast cancer cell lines T47D, MCF-7, SKBR3, BT549 and MDA-MB231 were recruited, with MCF-10A, a cell line of the normal breast epithelium, as normal control. T47D and MCF-7 cells were seeded in RPMI-1640, and the remaining cell lines including normal control MCF-10A were cultured in DMEM, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. All the cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified environment containing 5% CO₂.

Quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase PCR

Total RNA from fresh tissues was isolated with TRNzol Reagent (TIANGEN Biotech Co., Ltd, Beijing China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with minor modifications. The qualitative and quantitative analyses of RNA were conducted on a NanoDrop® ND-1000 spectrophotometer, followed by reverse transcription of 1 µg total RNA from each sample to synthesize cDNA using the RT reagent Kit (TIANGEN Biotech Co., Ltd. Beijing China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time PCR was performed to determine the relative expression levels of target genes using the SYBR Green RT-qPCR Kit on the StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System. The levels of HOTAIR expression in each sample was normalized to the respective β-actin expression level. The RNA sequences targeting human HOTAIR were customized in Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., China, with the primers [5] as follows: HOTAIR sense 5’-ATA GGC AAA TGT CAG AGG GTT-3’ and antisense, 5’-ATT CTT AAA TTG GGC TGG GTC-3’; β-actin sense, 5’-AAA GAC CTG TAC GCC AAC AC-3’ and antisense, 5’-GTC ATA CTC CTG CTT GCT GAT-3’. The amplification profile was pre-denatured at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing and extension at 60°C for 30 s, respectively. The accuracy of the PCR amplifications was verified by melting curve analyses. The comparative threshold cycle (Ct) method (ΔΔCt) was employed for the quantification of HOTAIR gene expression. The relative expression quantity of HOTAIR to β-actin was calculated using the equation 2−ΔΔCt, where ΔCt = Ct (HOTAIR)-Ct (β-actin). The gene expression levels of HOTAIR in tumor tissues were contrasted with normal control. To minimize procedural bias, each sample was analyzed in triplicates, with the mean expression level calculated.

Plasmids and transfection

Full-length human HOTAIR DNA was cloned into a pLVX-CMV-PGK-puro vector (Biowit Technologies Co. Ltd., Shenzhen, China). The DNA and plasmids were transfected as specified above into SKBR3 cells with lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, CA, USA). 48 hours after transfection, cells were subjected to puromycin treatment. At the end of 10-day screening, stable HOTAIR-transfected SKBR3 cell line (HOTAIR-SKBR3) was developed. The tumor cells which did not undergo transfection were designated as the blank control, and those transfected with pLVX-CMV-PGK-puro (null vector, herein Vector-SKBR3) as the negative control.

Clonogenic survival assay

Cells were cultured in 6-well plates for 24 h, followed by X-ray radiation at 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 Gy (Truebeam, Varian Medical Systems, Inc. USA), cultivation at 37°C for 12 consecutive days, fixation with methanol and staining with Giemsa stain. The counts of colonies containing at least 50 cells were calculated by microscopy.

Cell viability assay

CCK-8 assay (Beyotime, China) was to evaluate the proliferation of breast cancer cells. Then 96-well plates were seeded with cells at a density of 1×10⁵ cells/well. After 24 h, cells were treated with radiation therapy, at indicated concentrations for 22 h, 46 h, and 70 h respec-
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... and then incubated with fresh medium containing 10% CCK8 for another 2 h. Viable cells were detected by measuring absorbance at 450 nm by a microplate reader. Cell viability (%) = (A(Radiotherapy)-A(Blank))/(A(Non-radiotherapy)-A(Blank)) × 100%. A (Radiotherapy): substance of a well with cells, CCK-8 solution and radiotherapy. A (Blank): absorbance of a well with medium and CCK-8 solution, without cells. A (Non-radiotherapy): absorbance of a well with cells and CCK-8 solution, without radiotherapy.

In vitro cell transwell assays

For the migration assays, 2×10⁴ cells in serum-free media were placed into the upper chamber of an insert (8-μm pore size, BD). For the invasion assays, 1×10⁵ cells in serum-free media were placed into the upper chamber of an insert coated with Matrigel (BD, USA). Media containing 10% FBS were added to the lower chamber. After 24 h, cells were treated with radiation therapy, and then 24 hours of incubation, removing the cells remaining on the upper membrane with cotton wool, whereas the cells that had migrated or invaded through the membrane were stained with 0.1% crystal violet in methanol, imaged, and counted using an inverted microscope.

Flow cytometry

Cells were plated into 6-well plates at a specified density (1×10⁵) and incubated as specified above. At the end of 24-hour incubation, cells underwent X-ray radiation (8 Gy) and subsequent 24-hour culture. With the cells harvested, flow cytometry was conducted using AnnexinV-FITC Apoptosis Detection kit (KeyGEN BioTECH Co. Ltd., Nanjing, China).

In vivo tumorigenesis

All animal experiments were evaluated and approved by the Ethics Committee of Xuzhou Central Hospital. Female BALB/C nude mouse (16-22 g, 4-5 weeks old) were provided by Institute of Zoology Chinese Academy of Sciences (Beijing) and kept under specific pathogen-free (SPF) conditions. After the DNA and plasmid transfected, null vector SKBR3 cell line (SKBR3) cells and stable HOTAIR-transfected SKBR3 cell line (HOTAIR-SKBR3) cells were collected and washed with serum-free DMEM. Two kinds of cells were suspended in PBS and injected into the right axilla of nude mice (1-2×10⁶ cells/site) subcutaneously. When tumor volume increased to 100-300 mm³, animals inoculated by above 2 kinds line cells were randomly grouped into two different groups depending on whether receiving irradiation (n = 6): Vector-SKBR3 (Blank control), Vector-SKBR3 plus 8 Gy irradiation (negative control), and HOTAIR-SKBR3, HOTAIR-SKBR3 plus 8 Gy irradiation. Tumors were irradiated at a dose of 8 Gy with 6-MV X-rays. Tumor growth was measured every 2 days and the tumor volume was calculated according to the formula: tumor volume = (length (L) × width (W)²)/2. The tumor doubling time (DT) was calculated as follows: DT = d × log²(Vd/V0), where d was the length of time between two measurements, Vd was the volume of the tumor treated with X-ray, and V0 was the volume of the tumor before the X-ray. The mice were sacrificed till day 24 and during the period, no mouse died. Mouse was sacrificed by cervical dislocation, and tumors were excised for weighing.

Western blot analysis

Cells were treated with PI3K inhibitor (LY294002) 10 μmol/L for six hours [6, 7]. Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (KeyGEN BioTECH). Total protein was quantified by BCA kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Shanghai, China). Equal amounts of proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore, USA). The membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk, incubated with primary antibodies against HOXD10 (Abcam, USA), p-AKT and p-BAD (Keygen, China) at 4°C overnight, and incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (BioWorld, USA) for 1 h at room temperature. Immunoblotted proteins were visualized by ECL reagents and the signals were detected by ChemiDoc XRS imaging system (Quantity One Quantitation software, BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA).

Statistical analysis

Continuous data were analyzed by independent tests or paired T tests between the groups, whereas categorical data were analyzed by the χ² test. All values are shown as mean ± SD. Data were analyzed by T test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows ver.
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In order to test whether HOTAIR also has an expression character in breast cancer cells, we investigated HOTAIR expression in five tumoral (BT549, SKBR3, MCF-7, T47D, MDA-MB231) and one non-tumoral cell line (MCF-10A), by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). We designated the SKBR3 cells, which had moderate HOTAIR expression.

![Figure 1. HOTAIR expression in five tumor cell lines (BT549, SKBR3, MCF-7, T47D and MDA-MB231) and one normal breast cell line (MCF-10A), by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). We designated the SKBR3 cells, which had moderate HOTAIR expression.](image1)

Figure 1. HOTAIR expression in five tumor cell lines (BT549, SKBR3, MCF-7, T47D and MDA-MB231) and one normal breast cell line (MCF-10A), by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). We designated the SKBR3 cells, which had moderate HOTAIR expression.

![Figure 2. SKBR3 cells transfected by pLVX-CMV-PGK-puro (Vector-SKBR3) and pLVX-CMV-HOTAIR-PGK-puro (HOTAIR-SKBR3). Vector-SKBR3 cells had non-significant variation of expression, while HOTAIR-SKBR3 cells were significantly upregulated, versus blank SKBR3 cells (**P<0.01).](image2)
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Table 1. The Influence of over-expressed HOTAIR on clonogenic survival of SKBR3 cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>D0</th>
<th>Dq</th>
<th>SF2</th>
<th>SERD0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SKBR3</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vector-SKBR3</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOTAIR-SKBR3</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDA-MB231 was lower than that in MCF-10A cell (Figure 1). Finally, the moderate-expressing SKBR3 was selected to continue the experiment in vitro. We labeled control and HOTAIR-overexpressing cells with puromycin resistance. Lipofetamine 2000 transduction allowed stable over-expression of HOTAIR to several fold over vector-transduced cells. SKBR3 cells transfected by pLVX-CMV-PGK-puro (Vector-SKBR3) and pLVX-CMV-HOTAIR-PGK-puro (HOTAIR-SKBR3). Blank control SKBR3 cells had non-significant variation of expression, while HOTAIR-SKBR3 cells were significantly upregulated, versus negative control Vector-SKBR3 cells (**P<0.01) (Figure 2). We wondered whether HOTAIR could induce radioresistance of SKBR3. Clonogenic assay showing that cells significantly was a dose-dependent reduction. By the Clonogenic survival assay test, over-expressed HOTAIR accelerated clonogenic survival of SKBR3 cells (SER = 0.34) (Figure 3; Table 1). The SF data were fitted into the single hit multi target model formula: SF = 1-(1-e^{-D/D0})^n. In next experiment, cell viability was determined using a CCK8 assay. We found that HOTAIR enhanced the proliferation of cells and inhibited the damage of radiotherapeutic
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Table 2. Influence of overexpressed HOTAIR on response of SKBR3 xenografts to irradiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Doubling time (days)</th>
<th>Absolute growth delay (days)</th>
<th>Normalized growth delay (days)</th>
<th>Enhancement factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vector-SKBR3</td>
<td>5.28±0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOTAIR-SKBR3</td>
<td>5.10±0.30</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vector-SKBR3+Radiotherapy</td>
<td>7.25±0.89</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOTAIR-SKBR3+Radiotherapy</td>
<td>6.08±0.38</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOTAIR: homeobox transcript antisense RNA.

For irradiation treated groups, the doubling time of Vecotr-SKBR3 extended to 7.25±0.89 days, compared to 6.08±0.38 days in HOTAIR-SKBR3 group. Overexpressed HOTAIR inhibited response of SKBR3 xenografts to irradiation with enhancement factor of 0.31 calculated by dividing the normalized tumor growth delay (Table 2). Next Western blot analysis was performed to detect HOXD10 expression, as reflected the effects of HOTAIR of SKBR3 xenograft to irradiation treatment, and showed that HOTAIR leads to the suppression of HOXD10 activation (Figure 8).

Discussion

Compared with normal tissues, the expression of IncRNAs in tumors vary remarkably, which might enable these RNAs to be promising biomarkers or more preferably, therapeutic targets [8]. HOTAIR is expressed from the HOXC locus to silence the more distal HOXD locus [9], and involved in the development of primary breast cancer and promotes the invasion and metastasis [10]. The interactions of HOTAIR with the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) and lysine-specific demethylase 1 (LSD1) decrease the expression of multiple genes, especially metastasis-suppressing genes [9, 10]. HOTAIR is also reportedly overexpressed in a variety of tumors and shown to induce the proliferation and metastasis of the tumor cells [11-16]. Clinically, the overexpression of HOTAIR is a potential predictor of overall survival and progression in several cancers, including colorectal cancers [11], gastrointestinal stromal tumors [12], pancreatic cancer [13], esophageal cancer [14], hepatocellular carcinoma [15] and nasopharyngeal carcinoma [16].

In vitro, we demonstrated that HOTAIR decreased the radiosensitivity of breast cancer cells by colony formation. Next, we detected that HOTAIR-induced radioresistance was related to decreased apoptosis and increased Cell

Figure 4. CCK8 assays show vector-SKBR3 and HOTAIR-SKBR3 cells had significantly varying cell viabilities. HOTAIR enhanced the proliferation of cells and inhibited the damage of radioneraphic cells (P<0.05).
Overexpression of HOTAIR enhances breast cancer invasion, in which the HOTAIR over-expression in HOTAIR-SKBR3 cells had statistical differences versus the negative control group (Vector-SKBR3).

In our present study, 24 mice were sacrificed, in which the HOTAIR RNA expressions were up-regulated in human breast adenocarcinoma tissues. Our experiment confirmed the association between HOTAIR expression and tumor growth in vivo. Our findings strengthened the clinical feasibility of HOTAIR as a biomarker in the diagnosis and prognosis of breast cancers.

The translation of HOXD10 gene can be reportedly suppressed by two types of ncRNAs,
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homemobox (HOX) transcript antisense RNA (HOTAIR) and miRNA-10b (miR-10b) [3], the latter promoting cell invasion via RhoC-AKT signaling pathway by targeting HOXD10 in gastric cancer [4]. In this study, we investigated the effects of HOTAIR and/or irradiation on the activation of HOXD10 and PI3K/AKT-Bad expression by western blotting. First, we tested the association of HOTAIR overexpression and irradiation-induced HOXD10 expression. Radiother-

Figure 6. Radiation-induced apoptosis. A. 1Vector-SKBR3 (Blank control), 2Vector-SKBR3 plus 8 Gy irradiation (negative control), 3HOTAIR-SKBR3, 4HOTAIR-SKBR3 plus 8 Gy irradiation. B. HOTAIR overexpression significantly reduced radiation-induced apoptosis of SKBR3 cells (*P<0.05).
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Figure 7. HOTAIR geng resisted SKBR3 to IR in Vivo (A and B). Female BALB/c mice bearing null vector SKBR3 (Vector-skbr3) and over-expressing HOTAIR SKBR3 (HOTAIR-SKBR3) xenograft were divided into four treatment groups (n = 6): (1) Vector-SKBR3, (2) Vector-SKBR3 plus 8 Gy irradiation, (3) HOTAIR-SKBR3, (4) HOTAIR-SKBR3 plus 8 Gy irradiation. 10 days after inoculation of cells (1×10^6 cells/mice), the mice were treated with an 8 Gy-single fraction irradiation. (A) Representative images of mice bearing SKBR3 xenograft. (B) Measurement of tumor size. Data represent average tumor volume; error bars, SD (Table 2) (*P<0.05).

Figure 8. Tumor tissues of every group xenograft treated by Western Blot ECL. Treatment groups (n = 6): (1) Vector-SKBR3, (2) Vector-SKBR3 plus 8 Gy irradiation, (3) HOTAIR-SKBR3, (4) HOTAIR-SKBR3 plus 8 Gy irradiation. Western blot analysis showed that HOTAIR leads to the suppression of HOXD10 activation.

HOTAIR significantly inhibited the irradiation-induced HOXD10 activation, downexpressed p-AKT and upexpressed p-BAD. Finally, overexpressed HOTAIR-SKBR3 cells exhibited diminished apoptosis and augmented proliferation. These findings suggest that HOTAIR increased the radioresistance of breast cancer cells by interfering with HOXD10 and PI3K/AKT-Bad signaling. Nevertheless, puzzles remain as to whether it is via the mediation of HOXD10 and its downstream PI3K/AKT-Bad pathway that HOTAIR promotes breast cancer cell proliferation. Our experiment also suggests that patients with HOTAIR-overexpression tumors might be less responsive to radiotherapy, thus requiring adjuvant or more aggressive regimens in such patients.

In summary, our findings identified patients bearing HOTAIR-overexpression breast tumors have a low sensitivity to radiotherapy by interfering with the activation of HOXD10 and PI3K/AKT-Bad signaling. And, adjuvant or more aggressive treatment should be administered in this group of HOTAIR-overexpression.
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patients, and future targeting of HOTAIR might have added values for therapeutic implications.
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