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Tyrosine kinase inhibitor sunitinib targets the vasculature of clear cell renal cell carcinoma: a morphometrical study of treatment effect
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Abstract: Tyrosine kinase inhibitor sunitinib is thought to exert its anti-tumor effect by modulating angiogenesis in clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC). The pathological changes after sunitinib treatment have, however, rarely been studied in surgically resected ccRCC specimens. Such studies are important as they allow researchers to examine whether sunitinib targets the intended tissue and the effectiveness of treatment. We analyzed the pathological and immunohistochemical features of 14 surgically resected ccRCCs following sunitinib treatment and 25 untreated ccRCCs. Treated and untreated ccRCCs were similar in tumor size, nuclear grade and pathological stage. The treated tumors, however, showed significantly higher extent of tumor necrosis (32%) and more likely to have pericellular fibrosis (100%) and vasculopathy involving medium/large vessels (78.6%) compared with untreated tumors (23%, 20% and 40%, respectively, p<0.03). The treated tumors showed 47% reduction in microvessel density demonstrated on CD34 immunohistochemistry compared to the untreated tumors (64 vs 33, p=0.003). Architectural disruption, including vascular dilation and fragmentation, were significantly more common in treated tumors. VEGFR-2 expression (VEGFR-2/CD34 ratio) was higher on tumor microvessels in treated tumors than untreated tumors (0.95 vs 0.81, p=0.04). Our study confirms that tumor microvessels are the primary target of sunitinib treatment in ccRCCs. Sunitinib treatment significantly reduces the microvessel density and also produces significant structural disruption that lead to hypoxia, ischemia and necrosis in tumors. The treatment also increases the VEGFR-2 expression on the residual tumor microvessels and may contribute to the occurrence of resistance to sunitinib treatment.
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Introduction

Clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) accounts for about 70% of RCCs in adults. The carcinogenesis of ccRCC is related to von Hippel Landau (VHL) gene inactivation [1-3], although recent studies have also demonstrated the important role of chromatin remodeling genes and metabolic pathways [4-9]. Most sporadic ccRCCs show VHL gene inactivation by deletion, mutation, or promoter hypermethylation [3, 10]. It is clear that VHL protein plays a critical role in hypoxia inducible factor (HIF) homeostasis and regulation of genes in hypoxia inducible pathway. In ccRCC in which VHL gene expression is inactivated, HIF protein accumulates in the cytoplasm and subsequently diffuses into the nuclei to activate the genes regulated by HIF including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) and carbonic anhydrase IX (CAIX) [11, 12]. These growth factors bind to their corresponding receptors to activate cellular signaling cascades to promote cell proliferation, growth and survival. The unchecked stimulation of these pathways leads to tumor development, growth and progression. Overexpression of VEGF and PDGF is particularly relevant to clear
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20-30% of ccRCCs present with metastatic disease. After surgical resection, 1/3 of patients develop distant metastasis [3]. Treatment for advanced ccRCC remains a challenge. Many small molecular inhibitors have been developed to target genes implicated in the HIF regulated pathways. In recent years, sunitinib has been recommended as a first line therapy for metastatic ccRCC [13]. As a multi-kinase inhibitor, it selectively inhibits several tyrosine kinases, including vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR-2), platelet-derived growth factor receptor ß (PDGFR-ß), stem cell factor receptor (Kit) and Fms-related tyrosine kinase 3 (Flt-3). The antitumor effect of sunitinib is thought to be mediated by inhibition of three major pathways [10]. Inhibition of the Protein Kinase C (PKC) pathway causes rapid disruption of existing tumor blood vessels which leads to acute tumor regression. Inhibition of MAP kinase pathway inhibits new blood vessel formation which leads to tumor growth delay or slow tumor regression. Finally inhibition of PI3K pathway may have a direct effect on cancer cells causing cancer cell death.

Thought to primarily targeting tumor angiogenesis [14], the anti-tumor mechanism of sunitinib is not entirely clear. Most published studies used cell culture and animal xenograft models [14-16]. The pathologic and molecular changes after sunitinib treatment have been studied in ccRCC surgical resection specimens in only a few studies [17, 18]. None, however, provided detailed morphometric analysis of the treatment effect. Our current study was aimed to identify the histological changes in treated tumors and to explore the possible antitumor mechanism by studying the morphometric changes in tumor vasculature, expression of key molecules such as VEGFR-2 and CAIX after treatment.

Material and methods

Patient cohort

14 ccRCC tumors with neoadjuvant sunitinib treatment prior to surgical resection (study cases) and 25 ccRCC tumors with no treatment (control cases) were included. Study and control cases were matched for ISUP nucleolar...
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Table 1. Clinical and pathological features of clear cell renal cell carcinomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinicopathological features</th>
<th>Untreated</th>
<th>Treated</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. patients</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, number/%</td>
<td>19/76.0%</td>
<td>11/78.6%</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61±10 (45-81)</td>
<td>63±8 (52-80)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm)</td>
<td>5.6±3.4 (2.5-10.0)</td>
<td>5.9±3.2 (3.0-11)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISUP nucleolar grade</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological stage</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor necrosis</td>
<td>19/76.0%</td>
<td>11/79%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of tumor necrosis</td>
<td>23±18% (5-60)</td>
<td>32±20% (5-60)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericellular fibrosis</td>
<td>5/20%</td>
<td>14/100%</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasculopathy in small/medium vessels</td>
<td>10/40%</td>
<td>11/78.6%</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

grade and pathological stage (pT). Patients in the study group was treated with 2-7 cycles of sunitinib on a 4/2 schedule (50mg/day for 28 days followed by 14-day rest before the next cycle started).

Pathological examination of nephrectomy specimens

Surgical specimens were examined according to the College of American Pathologists cancer protocols (www.cap.org). Briefly, nephrectomy specimens were bivalved to reveal the tumors. Surgical margins, tumor size, anatomic extent of the tumor (perinephric and sinus invasion and vascular invasion), and percentage of tumor necrosis were documented. Tumors were sampled at 1 section/cm tumor for routine histological examination.

Immunohistomical staining and evaluation

Immunohistochical staining procedure followed the established protocol. One representative block from each case was stained for: CD34 (0.8 µg/ml, Ventana, Tucson, AZ), CA9 (1:2000, Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO), and VEGFR2 score which was calculated by multiplying the staining intensity (negative [0], week [1] and strong [2]) and percentages of positive cells (0-100). Therefore, the CAIX staining score ranged from 0 to 200.

Morphometric analysis of tumor microvessels

Evaluation of tumor microvessel density (MVD) and morphology was performed on CD34 immunostain slides. Representative tumor sections immunostained with CD34 were scanned at low magnification to identified five 4X fields with greatest number of tumor microvessels. For MVD, any discrete CD34-positive structure was counted as a tumor microvessel. Any contiguous structure, regardless of its branching contour, was counted as a microvessel. MVD was calculated as the number of microvessels per 4X field.

Tumor microvessels were also evaluated for their morphological alterations, including dilatation and fragmentation. In untreated tumors, tumor microvessels usually formed delicate and arborizing vasculature without conspicuous lumens (Figure 1A). In treated tumors,
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tumor microvessels, however, often became dilated and discontinuous (Figure 1C). Tumor microvessel dilatation was categorized as normal (<10% microvessels showing dilation, Figure 1A), focal (10-50% microvessels showing dilation, Figure 1B) and diffuse (>50% microvessels showing dilation, Figure 1C). Similarly tumor microvessel fragmentation was categorized as normal (<90% microvessels forming arborizing vascular network with <10% short vessels, Figure 1A), focal (50-90% microvessels forming arborizing vascular network with 10-49% short vessels, Figure 1B) and diffuse (<50% microvessels forming arborizing vascular network with >50% short vessels, Figure 1C).

To evaluate the expression of VEGFR-2 on tumor microvessels, VEGFR-2 positive microvessels were counted in the same fashion as MVD was counted. Expression of VEGFR-2 was calculated as VEGFR-2/CD34 ratio.

**Statistical analysis**

Student t test was used for continuous variables and x^2 test was used for categorical variables. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

**Results**

There is no significant difference between the treated and control tumors in terms of gender distribution, age, tumor size, ISUP nucleolar grade and pathological stage (Table 1). The % of tumors with tumor necrosis (Figure 2A, Table 1) was not different between two groups. However, the treated tumors showed a significant increase in the amount of tumor necrosis compared with the untreated tumors (32% vs 23%, p=0.03, Table 1). Apoptosis was not significantly identified in both groups. Pericellular fibrosis, in which tumor cells were surrounded by hyalinized fibrous tissue (Figure 2A), was seen in all 14 treated tumors. Tumor cells with pericellular fibrosis had degenerative appearance with single cells and poorly formed nests with pyknotic nuclei separated by fibrous tissue with inconspicuous vascular septa (Figure 2A). Coagulative necrosis was seen in 11/14 (79%) of treated tumors (Figure 2B). These changes were interspersed within the tumor areas with no obvious treatment effect. Pericellular fibrosis was also seen, but in much lesser extent, in untreated tumors (5/20%, p<0.001, Table 1). There were small and medium vessels with intimal thickening and myxoid and hyalinized changes involving intima and media and resulting in luminal narrowing and occlusion (Figure 2C). Such changes were more common in treated tumors (11/78.6%) than untreated tumors (10/40%, p=0.02, Table 1).
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Treated tumors showed significantly reduced MVD (33/4X field) compared with untreated tumors (64/4X field, p=0.003, Table 2). The morphology of the tumor microvessels was also significantly different between treated and untreated tumors. Focal and diffuse vascular dilatation was observed in 6/14 and 8/14 treated tumors, and in 15/25 and 3/25 untreated tumors (p=0.004), while focal and diffuse vascular fragmentation was observed in 1/14 and 12/14 treated tumors, and 1/25 and 12/25 untreated tumors (p=0.014).

Table 2. Morphological analysis of tumor microvessels in clear cell renal cell carcinomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphological analysis</th>
<th>Untreated</th>
<th>Treated</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MVD</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number/4X fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragmentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR2 expression</td>
<td>0.81±0.35</td>
<td>0.95±0.25</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR2/CD34 ratio ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VEGFR-2 immunostain was found on the endothelial cells but not on tumor cells (Figure 3A and 3C). The expression of VEGFR-2 on tumor microvessels was normalized against the CD-34 positive microvessels. VEGFR-2/CD34 ratio was higher in treated tumors (0.95) than untreated tumors (0.81, p=0.04).

Finally, CAIX immunostain was localized on tumor cell membranes. The composite staining score was 129.3±42.5 and 91.5±41.5 in untreated and treated tumors (p=0.09).

Discussion

The anti-tumor mechanisms of sunitinib in ccRCC have been previously studied using cell culture and mouse xenograft models and it is thought that sunitinib primarily targets the tumor angiogenesis rather than exerting direct effect on tumor cells. Histological examination of treated tumors is critical as it allows researchers to examine whether sunitinib targets the intended tissue and the effectiveness of treatment. It may also identify morphological features and tissue tumor markers that may correlate with the treatment response. Only two studies so far investigated the treatment effect in surgically resected ccRCC specimens [14, 17]. However, neither study provided a quantitative measurement of the treatment effect. In this study, we conducted a detailed analysis of both quantitative and qualitative alterations in tumor microvessels in surgically resected ccRCCs treated with sunitinib.

Our study confirmed that sunitinib primarily affected tumor microvessels. The treated tumors showed almost 50% reduction in tumor microvessels (MVD=33 in treated tumors vs 64 in untreated tumors), which resulted probably from both suppression of neo-angiogenesis and destruction of preexisting tumor microvessels [19]. In addition, sunitinib treatment produced significant structural alterations in tumor microvessels. Microvascular dilatation and fragmentation were seen in all the treated tumors. The latter indicated highly tortuous microvascular network that appeared fragmented and discontinuous on tissue sections. Structural disruption of microvessels results in loss of physiological integrity of vessels leading to vessel leakage as evidenced by deposition of fibrinoid material and fibrosis in pericellular and sinusoidal pattern. The vasculopathy involving small and medium vessels with intimal and medial thickening and myxoid and hyalinized changes and luminal narrowing and occlusion, first reported by Tsuzuki et al. [18], is also seen in almost 80% of treated tumors. The reduction in MVD and structural alterations seen in tumor vessels result in increased extent of necrosis in treated tumors which was increased by almost 40% (from 23% in untreated tumors to 32% in treated tumors) even though both treated and untreated tumors did not differ significantly in tumor size, stage and nuclear grade.

However, the structural disruption, including microvascular dilatation and fragmentation, vasculopathy involving small and medium vessels and pericellular fibrosis were also found in untreated tumors, although these changes were significantly less common and severe in untreated tumors. These findings suggest the
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architectural changes in tumor vessels are not specific for sunitinib treatment. The tumor vessels are inherently architecturally unstable and prone to structural alterations such as dilatation, fragmentation and tortuosity. Sunitinib, however, augments these changes, presumably by increasing the endothelial permeability and loss of pericytes [14, 19] and therefore modulating the structure and function of tumor microvessels and consequently exerting its anti-tumor effect.

Another important finding of this study is that the treated tumors had higher expression of VEGFR-2 (VEGFR-2/CD34 ratio) on tumor microvessels which were significantly reduced in number. The mechanism by which sunitinib increased the VEGFR-2 expression on the residual tumor microvessels was not studied in this report but it is possible that hypoxia and ischemia resulting from sunitinib treatment may up-regulate VEGFR-2 expression on residual tumor microvessels. This finding may have important therapeutic implications. Sunitinib is a multi-kinase inhibitor that targets VEGFR-2 which plays a critical role in angiogenesis. Although treatment with sunitinib substantially improves patient outcome, the initial success is overshadowed by the occurrence of resistance. The mechanisms of resistance are poorly understood [20], but increased expression of VEGFR-2 on tumor vessels could play a role in the development of the resistance. Therefore, further, more specific inhibition of VEGFR-2 may help overcome the resistance in treated ccRCC.

A recent study by Duignan et al. [15] using a more specific anti-VEGFR-2 antibody DC101 found that combined use of sunitinib and DC101 reduced the tumor volume to an extent greater than with sunitinib or DC101 used alone in a murine RCC model.

In summary, we conducted a detailed morphological analysis of the sunitinib treatment effect

Figure 3. Expression of VEGFR-2 on tumor microvessels in treated (A) and untreated (C) tumors. CD34 immunostains highlighted microvessels in treated (B) and untreated (D) tumors.
Pathological changes in clear cell renal cell carcinoma after sunitinib treatment

in surgically resected ccRCC specimens. Our study shows that the tumor microvessels are the primary target of sunitinib treatment in ccRCC. Sunitinib treatment significantly reduces the microvessel density and also produces significant structural alterations that lead to hypoxia and ischemia and necrosis in tumors. The treatment also increases the VEGFR-2 expression on the residual tumor microvessels and may contribute to the occurrence of resistance to sunitinib treatment.
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