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Analysis of esmolol and landiolol on elderly patients in the perioperative period with gastric surgery
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Abstract: Objective: This paper aims to analyze the controlling effect on rhythm of the heart by esmolol and landiolol during gastric surgery on elderly patients and to analyze whether they affect anesthesia doses used for surgery, which probably affects postoperative cognitive function. Methods: 45 patients who conducted radical surgery for gastric cancer were collected as study objects and they were randomly divided as group P, group E and group L, and the three groups were respectively given moderate placebo, esmolol and landiolol during the surgery. And then during the surgery, HR (Heart Rate) and MAP (Mean Arterial Pressure) of patients were observed, together with time of opening eyes and extubation time. Bis (Bispectral Index) value was maintained within 40-50. The dose of inhalation Sevoflurane at every moment in the surgery was recorded as well. And finally, their preoperative cognitive level and postoperative cognitive level were evaluated by MMSE (mini-mental state examination). Results: the average eye-open time in group E and group L were 14.3±3.24 min and 15.5±2.26 min, respectively, 4.5 min and 3.3 min ahead of group P, and the average extubation time in group E and group L were 18.8±2.70 min and 19.1±2.33 min, respectively, 7 min and 6.7 min ahead of group P. The average range of HR and MAP of patients in group P, group E and group L were 77.29-91.4 times/min, 70.8-77.8 times/min and 73.4-79.2 times/min, and 79-95.8 mmHg, 70.8-87.8 mmHg and 73.4-88 mmHg, respectively. And early postoperative cognitive function recovery of patients in group E and group L was 2 d and 4 d advanced compared to group P. The dose range of sevoflurane given during the surgery in group P, group E and group L were 2-1.1 MAC, 0.7-0 MAC and 1.4-0.4 MAC. Conclusion: Esmolol and landiolol have certain controlling function over HR and blood pressure during the surgery for the elderly patients, and landiolol is more stable in reducing HR and blood pressure. And at the same degree of anesthesia, esmolol and landiolol can reduce sevoflurane inhalation dose which helps to advance patients’ eye-open time and extubation time, consequently to improve their early cognitive function recovery.
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Introduction

Esmolol and landiolol both are ultrashort acting β1-receptor blocking agent, which are always used to treat arrhythmias during perioperative period [1, 2]. For stimulation during the surgery like anesthesia, tracheal intubation/extubation, and operative procedure, etc., patients will have stresses such as accelerated HR, rising blood pressure and increased myocardial oxygen consumption that will cause abnormalities of cardiovascular function even deaths [3]. There’s always a higher risk of arrhythmia among elderly patients in perioperative period than young people. And because excessive anesthesia dose has been given in the surgery causing delayed recovery times [4, 5], the elderly patients are always likely to have cognitive dysfunction [6]. Besides, hypofunction caused by organs and organization aging, decreasing metabolism of the elderly people will result to weak tolerance for external stimulus, and they usually have several chronic diseases and complications, for example, hypertension, coronary heart disease and diabetes and so on, which both increase the risk for operation. Therefore, medicines used to control rhythm of the heart for the elderly people needs more cautiousness. Though there’re many reports on esmolol and landiolol being used to control rhythm of the heart on animals and human side [8-11], there’re still few systemic studies on the elderly people’s condition-control in the surgery and the effects on their post-
operative cognitive function recovery by esmolol and landiolol.

In this study effects on the elderly patients’ rhythm of the heart during gastric surgery and their postoperative cognition were analyzed by using these two β1-receptor blocking agents. And the purpose of the study is to analyze the controlling effect on rhythm of the heart by esmolol and landiolol during gastric surgery on elder patients and to analyze whether they affect doses used for surgical anesthesia, consequently to affect postoperative cognitive function.

Materials and methods

Clinical data

Forty-five elderly patients conducted radical surgery for gastric cancer in People’s Hospital of Zhengzhou were included in the study. Inclusive standards were as followed: elective surgical patients aging from 60 to 75; American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification of I~II; patients with no heart disease, hypertension, history of anesthesia and obvious drug-related allergy; Body Mass Index (BMI) < 30; patients with normal language expression, emotion recognition, neural function; patients with oral cavity that could be conducted trachea cannula and without other problems. And they were randomly divided into three groups, placebo group (group P), esmolol group (group E), and landiolol group (group L).

Study methods

All patients were given general anesthesia with tracheal intubation and the specific procedure is as followed: after entering operating room, patients were examined in aspects of MAP, HR and open venous pass; group P, group E and group L were given placebo, esmolol and landiolol, respectively, by intravenous drip at the speed of 125 μg/Kg/min for two minutes; and then they were given continuous intravenous drip at the speed of 25~45 μg/Kg/min; five minutes later they were given anesthesia induction, specifically, 0.2 μg/Kg of fentanyl, 2 mg/kg of diprivan and 0.3 mg/kg atracurium bolus; after the patients fell asleep, they were given train-of-four stimulation, and when myopalmus was zero, patients were trachea cannula and then were conducted mechanical ventilation; respiratory parameters setting, specifically, tidal volume: 8~11 ml/kg, breathing rate: 13~15 times/min, end-tidal-carbon dioxide (Et CO₂) tension: 35~45 mmHg; sevoflurane (oxygen flow: 2 L/min) was continuously given during the surgery and then the concentration of inhaling sevoflurane was adjusted to maintain Bis value within 40~50, and the intravenous dripping rate of atracurium is 10 μg/kg/min; anesthesia was stop after skin closure. When patients recovered consciousness and could breathe spontaneously with swallowing and cough, etc., the tube could be removed. And 10 minutes after tube drawing, the intravenous dripping of placebo, esmolol and landiolol was stop. During the surgery when patients had bradycardia (HR < 40) or MAP < 50 mmHg, the esmolol dose and landiolol dose had been reduced; and when other dangerous consequences happened, responding emergency measures should be taken. And patients’ temperature were monitored, insulation measures were taken as well.

Observation indexes

Operation duration, anesthesia maintenance time, eye-open time, extubation time (from time when the anesthesia was stopped to extubation time), time after the start of anesthesia maintenance, when Bis maintained with 40~50, the inhalation dose of sevoflurane was recorded every 10 min (the unit is minimum alveolar concentration (MAC)); patients’ HR and MAP were monitored at the following time points: time before being given anesthesia (before being given placebo, esmolol and landiolol) (T1), 5 min after being given the anesthesia (T2), time before being conducted trachea cannula (T3), time being conducted trachea cannula (T4), before skin incision (T5), time being performed skin incision (T6), before abdominal exploration (T7), after abdominal exploration (T8), time the operation was completed (T9), eye-open time (T10), time of extubation (T11), time stop being given the anesthesia (T12) and 30 min after stop being given the anesthesia (T13). And they were performed MMSE scoring by the same doctor at the following times which were 12 h before the operation and 1 h, 6 h, 12 h, 1 d, 3 d, 5 d and 7 d after the operation.

MMSE scoring criteria

Referring to Rating Scale for Psychiatry documented by Mingyuan Zhang, patients were con-
conducted MMSE scoring according to their education degrees. And the total score of MMSE scoring is 30, and patients will be decided as having impaired cognition function when the MMSE scoring is less than 2 points, the preoperative underlying value [12].

Statistical methods

Data were expressed as mean ± SD; differences in categorical data were evaluated by t test; the primary evaluation was based on comparisons among esmolol group, landiolol group and placebo group; the differences of perioperative HR, MAP and sevoflurane in three groups were assessed by comparisons within group and among groups. In addition, t test was applied to calculate MMSE score, and SPSS19.0 software was used to deal with data. In this study, P < 0.05 indicates statistical significance.

Results analysis

There’s no statistical significance among the three groups in terms of weight, BMI, operation time and anesthesia time, etc. (P > 0.05). But the eye-open time and extubation time in group E and group L were ahead of that in group P, which indicated that the difference is statistically significant (P < 0.05). And differences in group E and group L weren’t apparent. The analyzed results are shown in Table 1.

HR, MAP and dose of sevoflurane during perioperative period for the three groups were shown as Figures 1-3, respectively. And we can see from the figures that the differences of HR and MAP at T1 and T2 among the three groups were not significant (P > 0.05), and patients’ HR and MAP in group P showed large fluctuations while that in group E and group L were more stable. It can be seen from Figure 3 that the inhalation doses of sevoflurane of 15 patients at every time point in each group were listed from high to low which in order are group P, group L and group E.

12 h before the operation, the average MMSE of patients in the three groups were 28.1±1.33, 27.9±1.16 and 27.9±1.51, respectively, which had no statistical significance (P > 0.05). The MMSE score was listed in Table 2. 1 h~1 d after the operation, the MMSE score difference in

Table 1. General situation, postoperative eye-open time and extubation time of patients in the three groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo group (n=15)</th>
<th>Esmolol group (n=15)</th>
<th>Landiolol group (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>8/7</td>
<td>8/7</td>
<td>8/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic disease (volume)</td>
<td>1.07±0.88</td>
<td>1.13±1.06</td>
<td>1.07±1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>67.6±4.55</td>
<td>67.8±4.62</td>
<td>67.6±4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Kg)</td>
<td>62.9±6.40</td>
<td>63.1±5.74</td>
<td>63.1±7.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.1±1.30</td>
<td>23.2±1.70</td>
<td>23.2±1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time (min)</td>
<td>211.3±30.54</td>
<td>209.6±28.02</td>
<td>212.8±31.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesia time (min)</td>
<td>271.3±29.61</td>
<td>271.2±24.71</td>
<td>273.8±27.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye-open time (min)</td>
<td>18.8±3.86</td>
<td>14.3±3.24*</td>
<td>15.5±2.26*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extubation time (min)</td>
<td>25.8±3.38</td>
<td>18.8±2.70*</td>
<td>19.1±2.33*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Represents that when group E and group L were compared to group P, P < 0.05.

Figure 1. Heart rate (HR) fluctuations regarding perioperative period in the three groups. *Represents comparison between different value at each time point and the basic value, P < 0.05; #Means comparison of group E and group L with group P at the same time point, P < 0.05.
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Figure 2. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) fluctuations during perioperative period in the three groups. *Represents comparison between different value at each time point and the basic value, \( P < 0.05 \); #Means comparison of group E and group L with group P at the same time point, \( P < 0.05 \).

Figure 3. Intraoperative fluctuations of sevoflurane in the three groups. Each patient said in a different line. Inhalation dose of sevoflurane is higher in the placebo group compared to the esmolol and landiolol group, esmolol group is the lowest.

group E and group L had no statistically significance (\( P > 0.05 \)), which is apparently higher than group P.

And the incidence rates of having impaired cognition function a day after the operation in the three groups were 53.3%, 26.7% and 40%; the incidence rates of having impaired cognition function 5 days after the operation in the three groups were 53.3%, 13.3% and 20%; and the incidence rates of having impaired cognition function 7 days after the operation in the three groups were 40%, 13.3% and 20%.

Discussion

Due to weak regulating function for vascular sclerosis, the elderly people are sensitive to the change of blood pressure and HR. While esmolol is effective in decreasing blood pressure and HR, thus it’s a challenge for elderly patients. But there’re reports indicated that esmolol is safe for the elderly people too [13]. And esmolol can not only reduce changes of hemodynamics during the operation but also need less anesthesia amount and advance extubation time [14]. Effect of landiolol and esmolol on fighting arrhythmia is similar but the former is more stable in deceasing HR and it can control blood pressure in the normal rang as well [15]. This study also shows that esmolol and landiolol can control HR during the operation and can reduce dose of sevoflurane used during the operation together with advance patients’ eye-open time and extubation time, consequently, patients can recover cognition level in advance. And landiolol is more stable in controlling patients’ rhythm of the heart, which agrees with the studying results of Xiao J, et al. [15].

The eye-open time and extubation time of patients in group E are 14.3±3.24 min, 18.8±2.70 min, which was 4.5 min and 7 min ahead of group P, respectively; the eye-open
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Table 2. MMSE scores of patients before and after the operation in the three groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MMSE score</th>
<th>Placebo group (n=15)</th>
<th>Esmolol group (n=15)</th>
<th>Landiolol group (n=15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 h before operation</td>
<td>28.1±1.33</td>
<td>27.9±1.16</td>
<td>27.9±1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h after operation</td>
<td>9.9±2.02#</td>
<td>16.2±1.32*,#</td>
<td>16.5±1.60*,#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 h after operation</td>
<td>14.8±1.57#</td>
<td>21.7±2.99*,#</td>
<td>21.5±3.60*,#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 h after operation</td>
<td>18.5±2.75#</td>
<td>24.7±2.96*,#</td>
<td>24.2±2.88*,#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 d after operation</td>
<td>23.8±1.61#</td>
<td>25.8±2.62*,#</td>
<td>26.3±1.67*,#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 d after operation</td>
<td>26.1±1.03#</td>
<td>27.1±1.79</td>
<td>26.9±0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 d after operation</td>
<td>26.5±1.06#</td>
<td>27.5±1.60</td>
<td>27.3±1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 d after operation</td>
<td>26.7±0.90#</td>
<td>27.9±1.75</td>
<td>27.9±1.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Represents comparison of group E and group L with group P, P < 0.05; #Indicates comparison among the three groups before and after operation, P < 0.05.

The postoperative average MMSE score of patients in group P was significantly lower than that before the operation, and it recovered to preoperative level on the 5th day after the operation (1.6 points less than that before the operation, and 1.6 < 2); one hour to one day after the operation, the average MMSE score of group E was apparently lower than that before the operation, and it returned to preoperative level on the third day after the operation (0.8 points less than that before the operation, and 0.8 < 2); one hour to one day after the surgery, the average MMSE score of group L was dramatically lower than that before the operation, and it returned to preoperative level on the first day after the operation (1.6 points less than that before the operation, and 1.6 < 2). Therefore, we can say that landiolol and esmolol are helpful for patients’ early postoperative cognition recovery, and group E and group L are two days and four days respectively faster than group P in term of postoperative recovery for cognitive functions. And we inferred that there are two reasons caused differences in cognitive function recovery among the three groups. One is that patients’ HR and blood pressure during the operation were controlled by esmolol and landiolol, which reduced the harm done by unstable HR and blood pressure, therefore to help recover patients’ early cognitive function; the other is that esmolol and landiolol decreased the dose of inhalation sevoflurane shortening the existence time of sevoflurane in human body and reducing harm to cognitive function done by anesthesia, which in some extent improves patients’ recovery of early cognitive function.

Due to limited subject number and single operation type in this study, there’s certain limitation for the effect exploration of esmolol and landiolol in the elderly patients during the operation. However, it still has been proved that esmolol and landiolol have positive influences on elderly patients’ HR controlling and the dose of anesthesia used in the operation.
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