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The value of performing dual-source dual-energy computed tomography in analysis strategy for urinary mixed stone
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Abstract: The aim of this study was to characterize and explore the mixed urinary stones by using Dual-Source Dual-Energy Computed Tomography (DSDECT) in vitro. Study scanned 134 human pure and mixed stones that were embedded into fresh porcine kidneys. Image analysis of these stones gave us the mean attenuation values in Hounsfield units (HU) and dual-energy index (DEI) at 80 kVp and 140 kVp. The mean of attenuation values, dual-energy and DEI were statistically different between uric acid (UA) containing and other stones, including mixed stones. For mixed stone, COX/UA and COX/PH had significantly difference in DEI (P<0.05), and the HU of 80 kVp, 140 kVp, and dual-energy were significantly different among COX/PH and PH/UA groups. The 80 kVp HU, 140 kVp HU and dual energy HU were significantly higher in COX/PH group compared with PH/UA group (1316.31 vs 813.23, 717.60 vs 440.03, 951.49 vs 557.38, respectively). The value of 80 kVp HU, 140 kVp HU and dual energy HU in COX/UA was 1052.54, 704.12, 802.94 respectively. DSDECT was precise in the differentiation of UA-containing stones and gave promising results for mixed stones of different types.
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Introduction

Urinary calculi is a worldwide disease with an increasingly morbidity and a high recurrence rate. Incidence of urinary calculi is up to 1~5% in China; it could be even higher in some special area [1]. The following factors contribute to the etiopathogenesis and development of urinary calculi: (a) congenital factors, such as sex and age [2]; (b) dietary factors [3]; (c) abnormal factors, such as metabolic disorder (hypercalcemia or hyperoxaluria), urinary tract obstruction and urinary tract infection [4]; and (iv) genetic and geographic factors [2-5].

Although patient’s symptoms and stone size are two important factors for selection of appropriate treatment options, knowledge of chemical composition of the stone would be crucial to determine the optimal management, assess the effectiveness of therapy, and formulate strategies to prevent recurrence [6-8]. In the case of calcareous stones (calcium oxalate calculus or calcium phosphate calculus), the treatment may require surgical intervention with shock wave lithotripsy (SWL), ureteroscopy or percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL), and not adjunct medical therapy [9, 10]. In the case of non-calcareous stones, the treatment typically includes adjunct medical therapy that is also different according to the characteristics of each stone type. For example, UA-containing calculus may be treated with urinary alkalization and low purine diet; and patients with struvite stones will often be treated with antibiotics before intervention [11-13]. The recent advances in computed tomography (CT) attracted attention of the urologists and radiologists [14] that led to the progress in the management of 10% to 25% patients after reliable identification of stone composition [15].

With the CT development, the sensitivity of dual-energy spectral imaging is increased. This novel technology utilizes two x-ray tubes (80 kilovoltage and 140 kilovoltage) for image col-
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When image is collected at low and high-energy x-ray spectra, it has different attenuation values that allow to detect different materials [16, 17]. Several studies on the predictive power of dual-energy CT (DECT) for analysis of pure stones have been recently published [16, 18, 19]. However, there is a lack of information about the predictive power of DSDECT for mixed stones. In this work, we used DSDECT to explore the characteristics of mixed urinary stones in vitro and to develop criteria for distinguishing of different types of stones.

Materials and methods

Study design and patients

We performed a study with 212 urinary calculi obtained from the sample bank of The First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University and The Second Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University. These samples have been collected during surgical and endoscopic interventions between December 2007 and June 2015. The stone’s diameter ranged from 0.1 to 6.3 cm (the mean size was 1.4 cm). After exclusion of the stones with a diameter less than 4 mm (stones of this size usually is ejected spontaneously and without treatment) [20], we obtained a dataset of 134 stones.

The stone’s composition was determined by two doctors of laboratory medicine (YJ, WW) using chemical process [21] which was a traditional method still used in this region. The stones were classified according to their predominant component. The following groups were identified in our sample bank: uric acid (UA), calcium oxalate (COX), phosphate (PH), carbonate (CAR), calcium oxalate and uric acid (COX/UA), phosphate and uric acid (PH/UA),...
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Regions of interest (ROI) of measured attenuation value for each urinary stone were detected with the software mentioned above. The dual-energy index (DEI) was calculated from DECT data (80/140 kVp), according to the following formula:

\[
\text{DEI} = \frac{\text{CT number (80 kVp)} - \text{CT number (140 kVp)}}{\text{CT number (80 kVp)} + \text{CT number (140 kVp)}} \times 2000
\]

**Table 1.** Characteristics of patients and stones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean stone size ± SD cm</td>
<td>1.4±1.1 (0.1-6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder stone</td>
<td>49 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney stone</td>
<td>133 (65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ureteral stone</td>
<td>30 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>124 (58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>88 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>5-87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

carbonate, phosphate and uric acid (CAR/PH/UA), calcium oxalate, phosphate and uric acid (COX/PH/UA), calcium oxalate and phosphate (COX/PH), carbonate and phosphate (CAR/PH) containing stones.

All stones were embedded into fresh porcine kidneys (obtained from a slaughterhouse) after hydrating in distilled water for 24 hours. The incision of the stones was coronal and implantation was performed in a water bath to keep air bubbles from entering into the collecting system. The location of each stone embedded in the kidneys was marked. The kidneys were placed in a 20-cm-deep water phantom and then scanned (Figure 1).

**Dual-Source Dual-Energy Computed Tomography (DSDECT)**

All examinations were performed with a DSDECT device (Somatom Definition, Siemens Healthcare). Technical parameters for the dual-energy scan were as follows: tube voltage, 80 kVp and 140 kVp; reference tube current, 96 mA and 400 mA with automatic exposure control; acquisition slice thickness, 5 mm; reconstruction slice thickness, 1.5 mm; reconstruction increment, 1.5 mm; gantry rotation time, 0.5 second; filter kernel, B30f (medium smooth); and detector configuration, 32 × 0.6 mm. Image analysis was performed by two independent radiologists (ZQY, WW) who had years of experience in abdominal imaging and were blinded to the stone composition (Figure 2). The readout was carried out on a dedicated remote workstation (Leonardo, Siemens Healthcare) with a commercial software set (Syngo Dual Energy Viewer, Siemens Healthcare).

**Figure 2.** The image of DSDECT. Radiologists measured attenuation value in image at 80 kVp (A) and 140 kVp (B). Three images of stones in sagittal (C), coronal (D), and axial planes (E) and settings dialog (F). In diagram, four stone types (calcium oxalate, hydroxyapatite, cystine, and uric acid) are described by four small circles (CT density at 140 kVp on x-axis and CT density at 80 kVp on y-axis). Blue line splits plane in two parts: uric acid (red) and non-uric acid (blue).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using commercially available statistical software (SPSS, version 21.0, Chicago, IL). One-way ANOVA was conducted to compare the attenuation values, DEI values and overlap values among the different compositions of urinary stones. To adjust for multiplicity, Fisher’s least significant difference test correction was used when multiple comparisons were performed. Pearson correlation was used to analyze attenuation values and mixed stone sizes. Samples were considered statistically different, if \( P \) value was <0.05.

**Results**

Urinary stones were obtained from the 212 patients during surgical or endoscopic interventions. Characteristics of patients and stones are shown in **Table 1**.

For pure stones, chemical analysis revealed 56 (42%) COX, 37 (27%) PH, 40 (30%) UA, 1 (1%) CAR stones (Figure 3A). For mixed stones, it revealed 34 (44%) COX/PH, 26 (33%) COX/UA, 12 (15%) PH/UA, 3 (4%) CAR/PH, 2 (3%) COX/PH/UA stones (Figure 3B).

The DSDECT software displayed pure uric acid stone in a red color and non-uric acid stone in a blue color (Figure 2). All mixed stones were displayed in a blue color. The results of the quantitative image analysis for pure stones are shown in **Table 2**. The attenuation value, dual energy and DEI were significantly different between UA-containing and other stones, including mixed stones (\( P < 0.05 \)). The mixed stones, COX/UA and COX/PH, had statistically significant difference (\( P < 0.05 \)) in DEI and attenuation values. The dual energy were statistically different
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among COX/PH and PH/UA groups. The 80 kVp HU, 140 kVp HU and dual-energy HU were significantly higher in COX/PH group compared with PH/UA group (1316.31 vs 813.23, 717.60 vs 440.03, 951.49 vs 557.38, respectively). The value of 80 kVp HU, 140 kVp HU and dual-energy HU in COX/UA was 1052.54, 704.12, 802.94 respectively. The date are summarized in the Table 3. Mixed stones did not show a correlation between size and attenuation value overall, but it may have such tending (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

Nowadays, urinary stone disease has been an increasing problem. The choice of method for the clinical treatment of urinary tract stones depends not only on stone size, location, and brittleness, but also on stone composition [23]. Therefore, the ability to predict stone composition before the treatment is a crucial feature for the selection of an optimal treatment. During the past few years, there were several studies to achieve this aim using conventional CT, which are widely used in current clinical practice. Initially reported in 1995 [24], the sensitivity and specificity of helical CT for diagnosis of urinary calculi was 95%-98% and 96%-100% respectively [25, 26]. However, the usage of CT for the identification of the chemical composition of the urinary stones has been questioned due to some contradictory results. Motley et al described that UA-containing stones could be distinguished from calcium stones, but the mean attenuation values were not predictable after including struvite and cysteine stones [27]. Furthermore, the accurate identification were precluded by overlap of their attenuation value ranges [27]. Although an in vitro study by Deveci et al demonstrated that the chemical compositions of both pure and mixed stone types could be determined accurately by attenuation value, the application of their method in an in vivo cohort is still not clear [28].

The application of energy information in DECT imaging has become a popular area of research with the development of radiological technology. There are two main DECT types, such as a dual-source DECT and single-source DECT. The single-source DECT relies on fast kilovoltage switching and a single-source dual-energy scanner with dual detector layers. Most of the studies are based on the application of dual-source DECT. Each material has a specific change in attenuation between images with a high-energy spectrum and with a low-energy spectrum.

This attenuation differentiates one type stone from the others with a nuanced characterization. DSDECT has two separate x-ray tubes that can be operated at two different tube potentials. DSDECT’s two separate detectors can
### Table 2. 80 kVp HU, 140 kVp HU, DEI, overlap value and dual-energy HU from pure stones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hounsfield unit variable</th>
<th>COX (n=34)</th>
<th>UA (n=33)</th>
<th>PH (n=26)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 kvp</td>
<td>1236.26±496.62</td>
<td>1062.99 to 1409.54</td>
<td>442.75±188.27</td>
<td>376.01 to 509.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140 kvp</td>
<td>704.12±259.86</td>
<td>550.94 to 748.78</td>
<td>423.28±132.71</td>
<td>550.94 to 748.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEI</td>
<td>0.238±0.118</td>
<td>0.196 to 0.297</td>
<td>0.009±0.092</td>
<td>-0.023 to 0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlap</td>
<td>100.02±126.01</td>
<td>56.05 to 143.99</td>
<td>-14.18±16.64</td>
<td>-21.08 to -8.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual-Energy</td>
<td>889.32±352.71</td>
<td>766.25 to 1012.39</td>
<td>404.23±137.12</td>
<td>355.61 to 452.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. 80 kVp HU, 140 kVp HU, DEI, overlap value and dual-energy HU from mixed stones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hounsfield unit variable</th>
<th>COX/UA (n=12)</th>
<th>COX/PH (n=24)</th>
<th>PH/UA (n=5)</th>
<th>COX/UA vs COX/PH</th>
<th>COX/PH vs PH/UA</th>
<th>COX/UA vs PH/UA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 kvp</td>
<td>1052.54±340.18</td>
<td>1110.48 to 1522.13</td>
<td>1316.31±487.43</td>
<td>1110.48 to 1522.13</td>
<td>813.23±314.78</td>
<td>422.37 to 1024.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140 kvp</td>
<td>627.51±201.25</td>
<td>544.64 to 800.38</td>
<td>717.60±281.81</td>
<td>598.61 to 836.60</td>
<td>440.03±167.88</td>
<td>231.57 to 648.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEI</td>
<td>0.187±0.085</td>
<td>0.133 to 0.241</td>
<td>0.267±0.054</td>
<td>0.244 to 0.290</td>
<td>0.251±0.055</td>
<td>0.182 to 0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlap</td>
<td>55.00±19.71</td>
<td>42.47 to 67.53</td>
<td>85.72±22.98</td>
<td>76.02 to 95.43</td>
<td>80.76±22.82</td>
<td>52.42 to 109.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual-Energy</td>
<td>802.94±244.54</td>
<td>647.57 to 958.32</td>
<td>951.49±410.37</td>
<td>778.20 to 1124.78</td>
<td>557.38±115.01</td>
<td>414.47 to 700.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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acquire two different image datasets. Low-energy scans can be obtained at 80 or 100 kVp simultaneously, and high-energy scans can be obtained at 120 or 140 kVp. DSDECT can optimize image quality because the capacity of its two separate x-ray sources allows beam filtration and adjustment of the current in each tube.

In recent years, DECT with either a single or dual x-ray tube had advances in determination of the stone composition. Qu et al improved separation in CT number ratio between the five stone groups (uric acid, cystine, struvite, calcium oxalate, carbonate apatite and hydroxyapatite) using 128-slice DSDECT scanner [29]. DSDECT was successfully used by Manglaviti et al for prediction of three types of stone, such as calcium oxalate-, cysteine- and uric acid-containing stones [20]. In addition, Li et al [16] found that the differences in mean of calcium density, calcium-water ratio, and radiodensity were statistically significant among five groups (uric acid, struvite, cystine, calcium phosphate and calcium oxalate) and demonstrated dual energy spectral CT provides a novel method for better characterization of pure urinary stones.

Although the studies which applied DECT to predict stone composition were gradually increasing, most of them were focused on the predictive power of DECT for analysis of pure stones [16, 30-32]. The increased appearance...
of mixed stones in clinic requires the development of the reliable methods for the detection of these stones in vivo. In our study, we analyzed the composition of mixed stones using DSDECT and distinguished COX/UA and COX/PH groups, as well as COX/PH and PH/UA groups. We speculated that uric acid might play an important role in this differentiation, because uric acid stones are made of low molecular weight compound and they have a higher attenuation value at higher voltages. However, other stones containing calcium oxalate, phosphate or cystine are made of high-molecular-weight compounds and have a higher HU value at lower voltages. In a result, uric acid mixed stones containing uric acid can be easily differentiated. However, we found that we cannot distinguish PH/UA and COX/UA stones by our method. The patients with both these stone types, PH/UA and COX/UA, can have with urinary alkalinization or allopurinol therapy before subsequent PCNL or extracorporeal SWL.

The relation between attenuation value of stones and effectiveness of chosen treatment (PCNL/SWL) were analyzed in several studies. Michio Tanaka's study indicated that stone's attenuation value less than 780 HU might have a successful result on SWL treatment. The combination of stone cross-sectional area and stone attenuation value was useful in determining the SWL treatment for patients with urinary calculi [33]. Kawahara et al showed that stones with higher CT densities are more resistant to ESWL than those with lower CT densities [34]. There was no high HU threshold above which clinicians would not consider SWL as a treatment option for urinary stones [33, 35, 36]. Largo et al reported that stones' HU/DEI ratio was a significant and independent predictor for extracorporeal SWL in the number of required shock waves [35]. At the same time, Gucuk et al indicated that the attenuation value was one of independent predictors of the failure of the PCNL. The cut-off value was 677.5, and having a HU value under the cut-off value increased the likelihood of procedure failure by 2.65 times [37]. According to above studies, we could consider that stones with low HU value were advantageous to extracorporeal SWL compared with PCNL. In our study, PH/UA stones have lowest HU value, suggesting that patients with PH/UA will have a better result when treated with extracorporeal SWL rather than PCNL.

Several limitations of the present study should be considered. Although COX and PH had been identified by DSDECT, COX contained calcium oxalate monohydrate and calcium oxalate dehydrate. Meanwhile, subtypes of PH were made of struvite, brushite and calcium phosphate. It suggested that further investigations will be required to differentiate subtypes of calcium stones. Moreover, a receiver operating characteristic study were not performed to establish a threshold for sensitivity and specificity, as the sample size of each mixed stone group (such as PH/UA and COX/UA) was small. Large-scale studies in the future are required to establish the threshold values for quantitative evaluation of the stone types. In addition, the measurements of stones performed in vitro could give inaccurate results in comparison with measurements performed in vivo. Phantoms may not accurately reflect the anatomic surroundings of renal stones. Future studies with a larger sample size should include in vivo study to determine clinical usefulness.

Conclusion

DSDECT differentiates uric and non-uric acid-containing stones, and also allows analysis of characteristics among mixed stones. It offers us a chance to make a better treatment plan for the patients with urinary stone disease.
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