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Pretreatment inflammatory indexes as prognostic predictors for survival in osteosarcoma patients
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Abstract: Pretreatment inflammatory indexes including neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), lymphocyte-monocyte ratio (LMR), platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), and systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) are associated with poor outcomes in various malignant tumors, but their prognostic value in patients with osteosarcoma is poorly known. This was a retrospective study of patients with osteosarcoma treated between 01/2010 and 12/2013 at Chongqing University Cancer Hospital. Follow-up was calculated from the date of initial histological diagnosis to December 2018 or death or loss of follow-up. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to determine the NLR, LMR, PLR, and SII cut-off values (low (L) vs. high (H)). The Kaplan-Meier method was used for survival analysis. Univariable and multivariable Cox analyses were performed to determine the independent prognostic factors. Patients with LNLR had better survival than those with HNLR (median, 38.0 vs. 13.0, P<0.001). Patients with LSII had better survival (26.0 vs. 10.0 months, P=0.001) than those with HSII. The areas under the curves for NLR, LMR, PLR, and SII cut-off values (low (L) vs. high (H)). The Kaplan-Meier method was used for survival analysis. Univariable and multivariable Cox analyses were performed to determine the independent prognostic factors. Patients with LNLR had better survival than those with HNLR (median, 38.0 vs. 13.0, P<0.001). Patients with LSII had better survival (26.0 vs. 10.0 months, P=0.001) than those with HSII. The areas under the curves for NLR, LMR, PLR, and SII were 0.761 (P<0.001), 0.683 (P=0.012), 0.697 (P=0.002), 0.653 (P=0.031), and 0.515 (P=0.837), respectively. In the univariable analyses, Enneking’s stage, systemic chemotherapy, surgery, NLR, PLR, LMR, and SII were associated with overall survival (OS). The multivariable analysis showed that HNLR (HR=2.507; 95% CI=1.364-4.606; P=0.003) was independent unfavorable prognostic factors. This preliminary study suggests that NLR is associated with poor prognosis in osteosarcoma. NLR could be a potential prognostic marker of osteosarcoma.
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Introduction

Osteosarcoma is a rare cancer of mesenchymal origin characterized by the production of osteoid (or immature bone) by the malignant cells [1, 2]. It is the most common bone sarcoma in children and adolescent, causing just under two thirds of the malignant bone cancer cases in young children [1-4]. Among children aged 0-19 years, the incidence of osteosarcoma is 5.5 per million boys and 4.5 per million girls [5]. Osteosarcoma is rare in adults, with only 400-450 new cases each year in the United States, with an incidence of 8 per million people-year in adults aged 15-19 years, 1.5 per million people-year among adults aged 35-64 years, and 2.5 per million people-year among adults aged 80-84 years [6].

Recent advances in effective chemotherapy have improved the 5-year survival in osteosarcoma patients to up to 60%-70%, but there is a lack of novel therapeutic strategies to further improve survival [7]. Osteosarcoma can appear and progress rapidly, leading to poor prognosis and high mortality. A primary reason for the poor prognosis of osteosarcoma is the lack of reliable biomarkers, making it difficult to identify the early stages of the disease that are treatable. Traditional approaches such as imaging often have limited uses as prognostic tools [8]. Further exploration of the underlying biology of osteosarcoma is thus warranted in order to identify novel biomarkers useful for the clinical staging of the disease.

Inflammation is known to be an important hallmark of cancer, contributing to tumor cell proliferation and genomic instability [9]. Inflammation activates a number of oncogenic processes that ultimately contribute to tumor progression and metastasis, including increased angiogen-
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esis, chemotherapy resistance, and immunosuppression [10]. Owing to the key role of inflammation in cancer progression, hematological inflammatory indexes such as neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), lymphocyte-monocyte ratio (LMR), and systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) have been suggested for the assessment of different types of malignant tumors [5, 11-14].

Nevertheless, whether these inflammatory indexes are relevant in osteosarcoma is poorly known. Therefore, the aim of the present retrospective study was to examine the prognostic value of NLR, LMR, PLR, and SII in patients with osteosarcoma. The results could provide new insights for the staging of the disease and to improve management.

Materials and methods

Study design and patients

This was a retrospective study of patients with osteosarcoma treated between January 2010 and December 2013 at the Chongqing University Cancer Hospital. The study was approved by the ethics committee of Chongqing University Cancer Hospital. The inclusion criteria were: 1) histologically confirmed osteosarcoma; 2) no previous anti-cancer treatment; 3) complete medical records available; and 4) available follow-up. The exclusion criteria were: 1) presence of a pre-existing hematological disease; 2) infection, fever, or other inflammatory diseases prior to treatment; 3) incomplete clinical data; or 4) previously treated with non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs, as these might impact blood tests.

Data collection

Two authors worked independently to extract the clinical data of interest. Relevant clinicopathological data such as sex, age, Enneking’s stage, tumor location, chemotherapy, surgery, and pathological fracture were collected from the medical records. Routine laboratory data including absolute lymphocyte count (ALC), absolute neutrophil count (ANC), absolute monocyte count (AMC), platelets, and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) were obtained from the records from the diagnosis period, 7 days before initiation of any treatment. The pretreatment baseline NLR, LMR, and PLR were calculated using the following formulae: NLR = ANC/ALC, LMR = ALC/AMC, PLR = platelet count/ALC [15], and SII = platelet count × ANC/ALC [16].

Follow-up

The guidelines issued by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network [2] were routinely used for the follow-up of all patients. Overall survival (OS) was the primary outcome. Follow-up was conducted once every three months for the first 3 years, every six months for years 4-5, and yearly thereafter. Physical examinations, surgical site X-ray, chest CT scans, and laboratory tests were conducted routinely during follow-up. In addition, bone scans were conducted every 6 months. For the present study, the duration of follow-up was calculated from the date of initial histological diagnosis to the date of the latest follow-up of this study (December 2018) or death or loss of follow-up.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and were compared using the chi-square test. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to construct survival curves, with comparisons carried out using the log-rank test. Cox univariable and multivariable tests were used to determine the independent prognostic factors. The NLR, PLR, LMR, and SII cut-off values were established using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, with 5-year OS as the outcome and the maximum Youden index point being used to guide cut-off selection [17]. Those values were then used to classify patients into two groups based on whether they were above or below the specified cut-off value. ROC areas under the curve (AUCs) were compared to determine how effective each prognostic variable was. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinicopathological characteristics

From 96 patients treated during the study period, 77 patients were included in the present study. Table 1 summarizes the clinical characteristics of the 77 osteosarcoma patients (43 males and 34 females). The median patient age at diagnosis was 19 (range 7-66) years. Thirty-three patients (42.9%) were <18 years of
### Table 1. Association of the patients’ clinicopathological features with inflammatory indexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical parameters</th>
<th>Total n=77</th>
<th>NLR</th>
<th>PLR</th>
<th>LMR</th>
<th>SII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LNL n=37</td>
<td>HNL n=40</td>
<td>LPL n=31</td>
<td>HPL n=46</td>
<td>LLM n=26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(48.1%)</td>
<td>(51.9%)</td>
<td>(40.3%)</td>
<td>(59.7%)</td>
<td>(32.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤18</td>
<td>33 (42.9%)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;18</td>
<td>44 (57.1%)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34 (44.2%)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>43 (55.8%)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremities</td>
<td>63 (81.8%)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-extremities</td>
<td>14 (18.2%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enneking’s stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>68 (88.3%)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>9  (11.7%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological fracture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>48 (62.3%)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29 (37.7%)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>36 (46.8%)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>41 (53.2%)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>25 (32.5%)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>52 (67.5%)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>28 (36.4%)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>49 (63.6%)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NLR, neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-lymphocyte ratio; LMR, lymphocyte-monocyte ratio; SII, systemic immune-inflammation index; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; L: low; H: high.
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Determination of inflammatory indexes and ALP cut-off values

ROC analyses were performed to establish the best cut-off for each inflammatory biomarker, based on the maximum Youden index. For NLR, LMR, PLR, ALP, and SSI, the cut-off values were 2.65 (Youden index of 0.47), 5.16 (Youden index of 0.37), 125.0 (Youden index of 0.33), 198.42 (Youden index of 0.15), and 728.24 (Youden index of 0.31), respectively (Figure 1). These cut-off values were used to divide the patients based on whether they were above or below these specified values. Of the 77 patients, 37 (48.1%) were in the low NLR (LNLR) group, while 40 (51.9%) were in high NLR (HNLR) group. The numbers of patients in the low PLR (LPLR) and high LMR (HLMR) were 31 (40.3%) and 46 (59.7%), respectively. The numbers of patients in the low LMR (LLMR) and high LMR (HLMR) were 25 (32.5%) and 52 (67.5%), respectively. The patient numbers with low ALP (LALP) and high ALP (HALP) were 28 (36.4%) and 49 (63.6%), respectively. Finally, 52 (67.5%) patients were in the low SII (LSII) group, while 25 (32.5%) were in the high SII (HSII) group (Table 1).

ROC curves for inflammatory indexes and ALP

The AUC was 0.761 (95% CI=0.650-0.851; P<0.001) for NLR (sensitivity of 65.5%, specificity of 81.8%) (Table 2). The LMR AUC was 0.683 (95% CI=0.567-0.784; P=0.012; sensitivity of 78.2%, specificity of 59.1%). The PLR AUC was 0.697 (95% CI=0.581-0.796; P=0.002; sensitivity of 69.1%, specificity of 63.6%). The SII AUC was 0.653 (95% CI=0.536-0.758; P=0.031; sensitivity of 40.0%, specificity of 90.9%). The ALP AUC was 0.515 (95% CI=0.398-0.630; P=0.837; sensitivity of 49.1%, specificity of 36.4%). Due to its limited AUC (P>0.05), ALP was not further analyzed (Table 2 and Figure 1).

Clinicopathological significance of inflammatory indexes

To investigate the relationship between clinicopathological features of the patients with osteosarcoma and NLR, PLR, LMR, and SII, comparisons between different groups were made. Chemotherapy was significantly associated with the NLR (P=0.049), PLR (P=0.011), and SII groups (P=0.010). ALP and surgery were associated with the high PLR (P=0.022) and SII (P=0.044) groups, respectively (Table 1). No significant associations were observed between LMR and the other clinicopathological factors.

Survival analysis

Patients in the LNLR group had a median survival of 38.0 months, while those in the HNLR group had a median survival of 13.0 months (P<0.001) (Figure 2A). Those in the HPLR group and poorer survival than those in the LPLR group (median, 13.0 vs. 32.0 months, P=0.009) (Figure 2B). For LMR, the LLMR group had poorer survival than the HLMR group (median,
Table 2. Cut-off value and AUC for prognostic factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prognostic factors</th>
<th>Cut-off value</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NLR</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>65.45</td>
<td>81.82</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLR</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>69.09</td>
<td>63.64</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMR</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>78.18</td>
<td>59.09</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SII</td>
<td>728.24</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>90.91</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP</td>
<td>198.42</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>49.09</td>
<td>36.36</td>
<td>0.837</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NLR, neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet-lymphocyte ratio; LMR, lymphocyte-monocyte ratio; SII, systemic immune-inflammation index; ALP, alkaline phosphatase.

21.0 vs. 26.0 months, P=0.016) (Figure 2C). Compared with the patients in the LSII group, patients in the HSII group had shorter OS (median, 26.0 vs. 10.0 months, P=0.001) (Figure 2D). Patients with chemotherapy and surgery had better survival (median, 30.0 vs. 21.0 months, P=0.023, respectively) than patients without chemotherapy and surgery (Figure 2E, 2F). Favorable OS (median, 24.0 vs. 7.0 months, P=0.013) was found in patients with Enneking’s stage I-II compared with stage III (Figure 2G).

Univariable and multivariable analysis for survival

The univariable analyses showed that the Enneking’s surgical staging (P=0.018), systemic chemotherapy (P=0.001), surgery (P=0.028), NLR (P<0.001), PLR (P=0.012), LMR (P=0.011), and SII (P=0.001) were associated with survival (Table 3). A multivariable analysis of these factors showed that HNLR (HR=2.507; 95% CI=1.364-4.606; P=0.003) and no systemic chemotherapy (HR=2.045; 95% CI=1.161-3.602; P=0.013) were independent unfavorable prognostic factors (Table 3).

Discussion

There are numerous studies demonstrating the relationship between cancer and inflammatory biomarkers [18-21]. NLR, LMR, PLR, and SII are associated with poor outcomes in various malignant tumors [5, 11-14], but their prognostic value in patients with osteosarcoma is poorly known. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the prognostic value of NLR, LMR, PLR, and SII in patients with osteosarcoma. Using multivariable analysis, the present preliminary study suggests that NLR is associated with poor prognosis in osteosarcoma and that it could be a potential prognostic marker of osteosarcoma.

Cancer-associated inflammation is a crucial indicator of cancer, and systemic inflammation has a well-documented association with carcinogenesis [22]. For instance, neutrophils can release and respond to several chemokines and cytokines [23, 24], which in turn can participate in angiogenesis, tumor progression, and metastatic spread [25-27]. Several studies confirmed that monocyte cell lineage stimulates the migration of neoplastic cells, enhances angiogenesis, and inhibits antitumor immunity [28, 29]. While lymphocytes are important for antitumor immunity [30, 31], platelets are also involved in the growth and development of tumors [32, 33]. Based on these results, several inflammation-based predictive biomarkers, including NLR, LMR, PLR, and SII have been suggested to be risk factors for malignancy, independent of one another [12, 14, 19, 20]. In the present study, we found that chemotherapy was associated with the NLR, PLR, and SII groups. ALP and surgery were closely associated with PLR and SII, respectively. The univariable analyses showed that Enneking’s stage I-II, no chemotherapy, no surgery, HNLR, LLMR, HPLR, and HSII were significantly associated with poor prognosis in patients with osteosarcoma. The results were similar to previous studies on various types of tumors [16, 30, 31]. In osteosarcoma, Liu et al. [34] showed that pre-operative LLMR was associated with poor survival among patients with osteosarcoma, but they did not analyze NLR and PLR. Xia et al. [15] showed that advanced stage and metastasis at diagnosis were associated with HNLR and HPLR, and that the OS is independently associated with NLR. Liu et al. [35] showed that HNLR, HPLR, and LLMR are associated with poor prognosis of patients with osteosarcoma. Huang et al. [36] demonstrated that HSII was closely associated with poor prognosis of patients with osteosarcoma, but they did not analyze the relationship between survival to osteosarcoma and NLR, LMR, and PLR. Interestingly, the multivariable analysis demonstrated that only NLR was an independent predictor of prognosis, as supported by two previous studies [15, 35]. A ROC analysis was performed to compare the utility of NLR, other inflammatory biomarkers, and...
Figure 2. Overall survival Kaplan-Meier curves from 77 patients with osteosarcoma based on (A) neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), (B) platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), (C) lymphocyte-monocyte ratio (LMR), (D) systemic immune-inflammation index (SII), (E) chemotherapy, (F) surgery, and (G) Enneking’s stage.
ALP in predicting patient prognosis. The AUC for NLR was significantly larger than that for PLR, LMR, SII, and ALP, consistent with the multivariable analysis. These results are supported by previous studies [20, 37]. In osteosarcoma, Xia et al. [15] and Liu et al. [35] also showed that NLR is more predictive of OS than PLR, but they did not analyze LMR. Nevertheless, the cut-off values vary among the present and previous studies [15, 34, 35] and much work remains to be done before standard reference values can be obtained.
The SII is based on neutrophil, platelet, and lymphocyte counts and is increasingly being recognized as a useful index for the prediction of survival in patients with various types of cancer, including pancreas cancer [38], non-small cell lung cancer [39], small cell lung cancer [16], hepatocellular carcinoma [40], esophageal cancer [41], cervical cancer [42], and gastric cancer [43]. On the other hand, conflicting results were obtained [44, 45], and its usefulness in cancer prognosis is thus uncertain. A recent meta-analysis of 22 papers and 7657 patients suggested that the SII is a potential prognosis marker and is associated with poor patient outcomes [46]. More specifically, this meta-analysis showed that the SII is associated with OS, time to recurrence, PFS, cancer-specific survival, relapse-free survival, and disease-free survival. Differences could exist among cancer types. Indeed, the meta-analysis by Yang et al. [46] showed that even if the SII were associated with OS to hepatocellular carcinoma, gastric cancer, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, urinary system cancer, small cell lung cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, and acral melanoma, the strongest association was observed for hepatocellular carcinoma. Of course, a publication bias could be observed here since negative results are less likely to be published and data regarding SII being not associated with cancer prognosis are more difficult to be found. In the present study, patients in the HSII group had significantly shorter OS (median, 26.0 vs. 10.0 months), but the multivariable analysis did not identify SII as being independently associated with survival of patients with osteosarcoma. This is in contrast to the study by Huang et al. [36] conducted with 126 patients, which showed that SII was independently associated with OS. As the literature regarding the value of SII in osteosarcoma, additional studies are necessary to determine its exact value in those patients.

This study has limitations. First, this was only a retrospective single-center study, with a small population size. Second, since the patients were mainly from poorer areas of southwest China, not all patients received standard chemotherapy, which could have biased the OS rate. In addition, there was treatment heterogeneity among these patients, further biasing the experimental findings. Finally, only Chinese patients were assessed in the present study.

Caution is warranted in interpreting the results of the present study for other ethnic groups.

In conclusion, this study strongly suggests that the pretreatment inflammatory indexes NLR, LMR, PLR, and SII were correlated with OS in patients with osteosarcoma. NLR is a more robust predictor of OS to osteosarcoma than LMR, PLR, and SII. Therefore, a more aggressive chemotherapeutic regimen may be necessary if patients present with one or multiple of these risk factors. More careful long-term follow-up may also be warranted. Nevertheless, multicenter prospective studies are needed to confirm these results.
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